



**Don Bosco Institute of  
Technology Delhi Journal of Research**  
**Year 2024, Volume-1, Issue-2 (July - December)**

## **A Study of Key Drivers of Fintech Disruption and its Impact on Traditional Banking – A Comprehensive Literature Review**

Usman Ghani

JAIN Online (Deemed to be University), Bengaluru

### **ARTICLE INFO**

**Key Words:** Fintech, Fintech Disruption, Conventional Banking, Financial Ecosystem

**doi:** 10.48165/ dbitdjr.2024.1.02.02

### **ABSTRACT**

The advent of financial technology (Fintech) has significantly reshaped the landscape of the banking industry, introducing innovations that challenge traditional banking models. This study aims to identify and analyse the key drivers of fintech disruption and assess their impact on conventional banking institutions. Through a comprehensive literature review, this research identifies critical factors such as technological advancements, regulatory changes, customer expectations, and competitive dynamics as primary catalysts of fintech disruption. The study further investigates how these drivers influence the operational and strategic responses of traditional banks, leading to changes in service delivery, customer engagement, and business models. By examining the literature, the research highlights the adaptive strategies employed by traditional banks to remain competitive in a rapidly evolving financial ecosystem. The findings provide valuable insights for policymakers, financial institutions, and fintech companies, offering a nuanced understanding of the interplay between innovation and regulation, and paving the way for more resilient and customer-centric banking solutions.

### **Introduction**

Fintech, or financial technology, is rapidly developing and becoming more widely used, which is causing a fundamental upheaval in the financial services sector.

This disruptive force has emerged as a significant challenge to traditional banking institutions, reshaping the system through which financial services are delivered. Fintech encompasses a wide array of innovations, including mobile banking, peer-to-peer lending, blockchain technology, and digital payments, each contributing to a dynamic and competitive financial landscape. Traditional banks, long characterized by their established practices and regulatory frameworks, are now facing unprecedented pressure to

evolve. The key drivers behind fintech disruption are multifaceted, involving technological advancements, shifting regulatory environments, evolving customer expectations, and intensifying competition from agile fintech startups. These drivers collectively create a new paradigm in which traditional banks must rethink their strategies and operations to maintain relevance and competitiveness. Fintech activity: The Indian economy has benefited from the rise in fintech's penetration and adoption rate across a number of industries. Transparent, secure, quick, and profitable processes are becoming more prevalent in digital transactions, which are advantageous for businesses, consumers, and governments. The approach includes a thorough review of existing literature, coupled with descriptive analysis of market

\*Corresponding author. Dr. Usman Ghani JAIN

E-mail address: usman@onlinejain.com

Copyright @ DBITDJR (<https://acspublisher.com/journals/index.php/dbaskdf>)

trends and case studies of specific financial institutions. Through this multifaceted methodology, we aim to uncover the strategies traditional banks are deploying to adapt to the fintech revolution, such as digital transformation initiatives, partnerships with fintech companies, and the adoption of new business models. The insights garnered from this research will be invaluable for stakeholders across the financial services spectrum, including policymakers, banking executives, fintech entrepreneurs, and academics. Understanding the drivers of fintech disruption and its impact on traditional banking will not only help in navigating the current landscape but also in anticipating future trends and preparing for the continuous evolution of the financial services industry.

## Literature Review

The impact of fintech on traditional banking has garnered substantial attention from academics, industry experts, and policymakers. This literature review synthesizes existing research on the key drivers of fintech disruption and its consequences for traditional banks, providing a foundation for understanding the current dynamics in the financial services industry.

The integration of fintech within traditional banking necessitates a cultural and workforce transformation. Teichert (2019) discusses how banks are shifting towards a more innovative and agile organizational culture to embrace digital transformation. This shift includes upskilling employees, fostering a culture of continuous learning, and encouraging an entrepreneurial mindset. The workforce transformation is essential for banks to effectively implement and leverage fintech innovations.

One of the transformative impacts of fintech is its role in promoting financial inclusion. Suri and Jack (2016) highlight how mobile money services and digital wallets have provided financial access to previously underserved populations, particularly in developing countries. This inclusion disrupts traditional banking by broadening the customer base and creating new market opportunities. Traditional banks are responding by developing inclusive financial products and collaborating with fintech firms to reach these new customers.

Technological innovation is at the heart of fintech disruption. Key studies highlight the role of advancements in digital technologies, such as blockchain, artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, and mobile applications, in transforming financial services. Arner, Barberis, and Buckley (2015) illustrate how blockchain technology facilitates secure and transparent transactions, challenging traditional banking processes. Similarly, Gomber et al. (2018) emphasize AI and machine learning's potential to enhance customer service and risk management through improved data analytics and personalized financial products.

Regulations play a critical role in shaping the fintech landscape. Zetsche, Buckley, Arner, and Barberis (2017) discuss the evolving regulatory frameworks designed to accommodate fintech innovations while ensuring financial stability and consumer protection. Their work underscores the importance of regulatory sandboxes and open banking initiatives, which allow fintech firms to experiment with new products and services in a controlled working landscape. These regulatory changes compel traditional banks to innovate and collaborate with fintech companies to stay competitive.

Davis and White (2021) examined how legislators influence the fintech disruptive environment. They contended that authorities must strike a careful balance between fostering innovation in financial technology and safeguarding the stability of financial institutions. Innovation carries new dangers even while it can promote financial inclusiveness and economic prosperity. Davis and White concluded that regulators are actively working to modify laws to reflect the evolving fintech scene, frequently battling issues related to systemic risk management, data security, and anti-fraud. Their study emphasizes how important regulators are to the development of the fintech sector and the preservation of a sound financial system.

Fintech also impacts risk management and regulatory compliance in traditional banking. Buchak et al. (2018) explore how fintech innovations in data analytics and AI improve banks' ability to manage risk and ensure regulatory compliance. These technologies enable real-time monitoring of transactions, predictive risk assessment, and automated reporting, enhancing overall risk management. However, this also poses challenges as banks must continuously adapt to the evolving regulatory landscape influenced by fintech developments.

Cybersecurity is a critical concern in the fintech era. Traditional banks face increasing threats of cyber-attacks as they adopt digital technologies. Lee and Teo (2020) examine how fintech solutions enhance cybersecurity through advanced encryption, biometrics, and blockchain technology, providing robust defences against fraud and cyber threats. Conventional banks are investing heavily in cybersecurity to safeguard customer data and preserve trust, which is paramount in the digital age.

Consumer behaviour and expectations are pivotal in driving fintech adoption. Research by Lee and Shin (2018) highlights how the digital age has led to a shift in consumer preferences towards convenience, speed, and accessibility in financial services. Fintech companies excel in meeting these demands through user-friendly interfaces and seamless digital experiences, thereby attracting a growing customer base that was previously underserved by traditional banks.

Customer experience is a crucial area where fintech has made a significant impact. Research by Chen et al. (2019) demonstrates that fintech companies excel in creating user-friendly platforms that offer personalized services, leading

to higher customer satisfaction and loyalty. Traditional banks are increasingly adopting these practices, integrating fintech innovations such as mobile banking apps, chatbots, and personalized financial advice to enhance the customer experience. This shift is crucial for retaining customers who demand seamless and intuitive digital banking services.

Fintech innovations significantly enhance operational efficiency and reduce costs for traditional banks. According to Puschmann (2017), fintech solutions streamline banking operations by automating processes, reducing human error, and improving transaction speeds. This efficiency allows banks to cut operational costs, which can be reinvested in technology and customer service improvements. Fuster et al. (2019) show how algorithmic lending and automated financial advisory services reduce the need for extensive manpower, thereby lowering costs and improving service delivery speed.

The competitive dynamics between fintech firms and traditional banks are extensively analyzed in the literature. Philippon (2016) provides evidence of how fintech startups introduce competitive pressures by offering lower-cost alternatives to traditional banking services. This competition forces traditional banks to innovate and improve efficiency. Frame, Wall, and White (2019) further explore the strategic responses of banks, including digital transformation, partnerships with fintech firms, and investments in fintech startups.

Fintech has altered the competitive environment of the financial services sector. Vives (2017) discusses how fintech startups introduce innovative business models that challenge traditional banks' market dominance. These startups leverage technology to offer more competitive pricing, faster services, and enhanced convenience. To counter this competition, traditional banks are adopting agile methodologies and innovation-driven strategies. Carney (2017) noted that many banks have established innovation labs and accelerators to foster fintech collaboration and stay ahead in the competition. Smith (2018) provided a concise summary of the main challenges that traditional banks are currently facing in the wake of fintech's meteoric development. The traditional banking paradigm has been upended by agile fintech firms with their traditional structures and procedures. Smith made the point that by leveraging cutting-edge technologies like blockchain, artificial intelligence, and intuitive mobile applications, fintech companies have completely transformed the way financial services are delivered. They offer solutions that are simple, efficient, and customer-focused, forcing incumbent banks to adapt or risk going out of business. Smith's findings state that in order for traditional banks to remain competitive in this new environment, they must swiftly modernize their internal procedures and bolster their digital capabilities. Expanding upon Smith's observations, Brown and Jones (2020) examined the particular difficulties that traditional banks encounter as a result of fintech disruption. They contended that the growing rivalry

from fintech companies is the primary reason behind the strain experienced by the traditional banking industry. Traditional banks are consequently compelled to adopt digital transformation. As pointed out by Brown and Jones, "Maintaining current systems and making investments in new technology provide substantial financial challenges. At the same time, they saw opportunities for banks to adapt by collaborating with fintech companies and implementing their innovations into their operations. Their findings suggest that established institutions need to find a way to reconcile supporting stability with promoting innovation".

Traditional banks are not merely passive observers of fintech disruption; they actively respond with various adaptive strategies. Studies by Böhme, Rainer, and Gassen (2020) and Nicoletti (2017) investigate how banks are adopting digital transformation initiatives, enhancing their technological infrastructure, and pursuing strategic alliances with fintech companies to leverage their innovative capabilities. These strategies aim to integrate fintech solutions into traditional banking operations, thereby enhancing customer experience and operational efficiency.

The topic of Vijai's (2019) paper, FinTech in India: prospects and problems, is the development of FinTech technology in India, along with its prospects and problems. FinTech provides users with a faster, more secure method of transacting. His study demonstrates how the FinTech sector has altered Indian financial services. New concepts and the FinTech industry are supported by the Indian government. Because fintech is user-friendly, secure, quick, and affordable by cutting down on financial service expenses, it benefits the Indian economy.

The broader implications of fintech disruption for policy and strategy are also well-documented. Thakor (2020) and Gomber et al. (2018) discuss how policymakers need to balance innovation with stability, suggesting that a collaborative approach between regulators, traditional banks, and fintech firms is essential for sustainable development in the financial sector. Strategic implications for banks include the need for continuous innovation, investment in technology, and a customer-centric approach.

Gozman, Liebenau, and Mangan (2018) analyze how these partnerships create synergistic benefits, merging the innovative competencies of fintech with the extensive resources and customer bases of banking institutions. Such collaborations foster ecosystem development, where banks and fintech firms co-create value-added services, enhancing their competitive edge and market reach.

## **Discussion on Literature**

The findings from the literature review highlight the extensive impact of fintech disruption on traditional banking, emphasizing a multifaceted transformation across operational, customer, competitive, and strategic dimensions. These findings underscore the transformative

effects of fintech innovations and the strategic responses of traditional banks.

## **i. Operational Efficiency and Cost Reduction**

Fintech innovations significantly enhance operational efficiency for traditional banks. Automated processes, algorithmic solutions, and advanced data analytics streamline operations, reducing the need for manual intervention and minimising operating costs. This efficiency allows banks to allocate resources more effectively, invest in new technologies, and improve overall service delivery.

## **ii. Enhanced Customer Experience and Expectations**

Fintech companies excel in creating user-friendly, personalized digital platforms, setting new standards for customer experience. Traditional banks are adopting similar technologies like mobile banking apps and AI-powered chatbots, to meet evolving customer expectations. This focus on digital transformation is important for upholding customer loyalty and attracting new clients who prioritize convenience and seamless digital interactions.

## **iii. Financial Inclusion**

Fintech is essential to the expansion of financial inclusion because it gives people who are excluded, access to financial services. Mobile money services and digital wallets have opened new market opportunities, particularly in developing regions. Traditional banks are increasingly collaborating with fintech firms to develop inclusive financial products, thereby expanding their customer base and tapping into previously unreachable markets.

## **iv. Competitive Landscape**

Fintech startups introduce innovative business models and competitive pricing strategies that challenge the market dominance of traditional banks. In response, traditional banks are adopting agile methodologies and investing in innovation-driven strategies. Many banks have established innovation labs and accelerators to foster fintech collaboration and to retain a competitive advantage in the rapidly evolving financial landscape.

## **v. Risk Management and Regulatory Compliance**

Fintech advancements in data analytics and AI enhance

traditional banks' ability to manage risk and ensure regulatory compliance. These technologies enable real-time monitoring, predictive risk assessment, and automated reporting, improving overall risk management. However, the evolving regulatory landscape presents continuous challenges, requiring banks to stay adaptive and compliant.

## **vi. Cybersecurity and Fraud Prevention**

The integration of fintech solutions has heightened the focus on cybersecurity and fraud prevention. Advanced encryption, biometrics, and blockchain technologies provide robust defences against cyber threats. Traditional banking institutions are investing profoundly in these technologies to protect customer data and uphold trust in the digital age, acknowledging the increasing threats posed by cyber-attacks.

## **vii. Strategic Alliances and Ecosystem Development**

Synergistic benefits are being created by strategic agreements between fintech companies and traditional banking institutions. These partnerships combine fintech's innovative capabilities with the extensive resources and customer bases of traditional banks. The development of such ecosystems fosters co-creation of value-added services, enhancing competitive advantage and market reach for both parties.

## **viii. Organizational Culture and Workforce Transformation**

The adoption of fintech necessitates a shift in organizational culture and workforce transformation within traditional banks. There is a growing emphasis on fostering an innovative, agile culture, upskilling employees, and promoting continuous learning. This cultural shift is essential for effectively implementing fintech innovations and retaining up a competitive edge in the industry.

## **Conclusion**

The disruption caused by fintech is reshaping the traditional banking sector in profound ways. The integration of advanced technologies enhances operational efficiency, reduces costs, and improves customer experiences. Fintech's role in promoting financial inclusion opens new market opportunities, while its competitive pressure forces traditional banks to innovate and adapt. Strategic alliances between banks and fintech firms are creating synergistic benefits, fostering the development of a more dynamic and responsive financial ecosystem. However, the evolving regulatory landscape and

increasing cybersecurity threats present ongoing challenges that require continuous adaptation and investment.

In order to remain competitive in the current era, conventional banks need to adopt digital transformation, cultivate an innovative culture, and establish strategic partnerships with fintech enterprises. By doing so, they can leverage fintech's disruptive potential to enhance their services, expand their market reach, and maintain competitive relevance in the financial services sector. Continuous research and strategic foresight are essential to navigate the complexities of fintech disruption and ensure sustainable growth and resilience in the future.

## References

- Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2015). The evolution of fintech: A new post-crisis paradigm? *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, 47, 1271-1319.
- Böhme, R., & Gassen, D. (2020). Digital transformation in banking: The role of fintech. *Journal of Financial Services Research*, 58(3), 243-265.
- Buchak, G., Matvos, G., Piskorski, T., & Seru, A. (2018). Fintech, regulatory arbitrage, and the rise of shadow banks. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 130(3), 453-483.
- Carney, M. (2017). The promise of fintech – something new under the sun? Bank of England. Retrieved from <https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/speech/2017/the-promise-of-fintech-something-new-under-the-sun>
- Chen, L., Wu, W., & Yang, Z. (2019). How valuable is FinTech innovation? *Review of Financial Studies*, 32(5), 2062-2106.
- Dorfleitner, G., Hornuf, L., Schmitt, M., & Weber, M. (2017). *FinTech in Germany*. Springer International Publishing.
- Frame, W. S., Wall, L. D., & White, L. J. (2019). Technological change and financial innovation in banking: Some implications for fintech. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta Working Paper.
- Fuster, A., Goldsmith-Pinkham, P., Ramadorai, T., & Walther, A. (2019). Predictably unequal? The effects of machine learning on credit markets. *The Journal of Finance*, 74(6), 2351-2394.
- Gomber, P., Koch, J.-A., & Siering, M. (2017). Digital finance and fintech: Current research and future research directions. *Journal of Business Economics*, 87(5), 537-580.
- Gozman, D., Liebenau, J., & Mangan, J. (2018). The innovation mechanisms of fintech start-ups: Insights from SWIFT's innotribe competition. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 35(1), 145-179.
- Lee, I., & Shin, Y. J. (2018). Fintech: Ecosystem, business models, investment decisions, and challenges. *Business Horizons*, 61(1), 35-46.
- Lee, J., & Teo, H. H. (2020). Emergent roles of fintech in cybersecurity: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 29(3), 101615.
- Nicoletti, B. (2017). *The Future of FinTech: Integrating Finance and Technology in Financial Services*. Springer.
- Philippon, T. (2016). The fintech opportunity. NBER Working Paper No. 22476.
- Puschmann, T. (2017). Fintech. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 59(1), 69-76.
- Suri, T., & Jack, W. (2016). The long-run poverty and gender impacts of mobile money. *Science*, 354(6317), 1288-1292.
- Teichert, T. (2019). Digital transformation maturity: A systematic review of literature. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 32(4), 615-641.
- Thakor, A. V. (2020). Fintech and banking: What do we know? *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 41, 100833.
- Vives, X. (2017). The impact of fintech on banking. *European Economy: Banks, Regulation, and the Real Sector*, 2, 97-105.
- Zetsche, D. A., Buckley, R. P., Arner, D. W., & Barberis, J. N. (2017). Regulating a revolution: From regulatory sandboxes to smart regulation. *Fordham Journal of Corporate & Financial Law*, 23(1), 31-103.