

International Journal of Agricultural Extension and Education

Year 2025, Volume-1, Issue-1 (January - June)

Quantitative Trait Analysis and Path Coefficient Modeling for Yield Optimization in Rice (*Oryza sativa L.*) Restorer Lines

Dr. Shweta Tiwari

Research Scholar, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur – 482004, Madhya Pradesh, INDIA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Correlation, Path Analysis, Association, Restorer lines, Direct effect, Hybridization programme

ABSTRACT

This research focuses on the correlation and path coefficient analysis of 90 rice restorer lines (*Oryza sativa L.*) evaluated for 29 quantitative and qualitative traits to elucidate the key contributors to grain yield. The analysis revealed that traits such as panicle length, panicle index, productive tillers per plant, and harvest index exhibited a strong positive correlation with grain yield and exerted significant direct effects, making them reliable selection criteria for genetic improvement and hybrid breeding programs. In contrast, stem length displayed a significant negative correlation with grain yield at the genotypic level, while plant height showed negative direct effects, underscoring their limited relevance as indicators for yield enhancement. Furthermore, a negative association was observed between plant height, stem length, and several economic traits, including total tillers per plant, productive tillers per plant, 1000-grain weight, panicle index, and harvest index, at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. This association underscores the yield advantage of short-statured or dwarf genotypes, which are better suited for achieving higher grain yield. Notably, restorer lines with favourable traits, including total spikelets per panicle, fertile spikelets per panicle, and spikelet density percentage, were positively correlated with late maturity, indicating that late-maturing lines hold greater promise as superior restorers compared to early-maturing lines. Key traits identified for yield improvement include stem length, panicle length, panicle index, spikelet density percentage, productive tillers per plant, length-to-breadth ratio, harvest index, biological yield per plant, and decorticated grain width. These findings provide critical insights into the development of robust hybridization and breeding programs aimed at optimizing grain yield in rice.

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa L.*) is a cornerstone of global food systems, particularly in Asia, where it has been a staple crop for millennia. As the primary caloric source for approximately half of the world's population, especially in Asian regions where it constitutes around 90% of dietary intake (Tiwari et

al., 2021), rice plays a central role in ensuring food security. However, with the accelerating increase in global population and the mounting challenges posed by climate change, the need to enhance rice productivity has never been more urgent.

Compounding these challenges is the looming threat of water scarcity. Projections indicate that by 2025, nearly 20% of irrigated rice-growing areas could experience "physical

Corresponding author

E-mail- geneticistnbreedershweta@gmail.com

water scarcity" (Surendran et al., 2021), significantly impacting rice yields. As such, the focus of rice improvement must extend beyond mere yield enhancement to encompass traits that influence crop resilience, water-use efficiency, and environmental adaptability. These efforts require a nuanced understanding of the genetic and phenotypic interrelationships among traits that contribute to rice yield. Understanding the genetic correlations between agronomic traits is essential for efficient selection in breeding programs. Positive correlations between traits allow for concurrent improvement of multiple yield-contributing factors, while negative correlations highlight the trade-offs inherent in optimizing one trait at the expense of another. The ability to navigate these complex relationships is pivotal for selecting traits that maximize grain yield and overall productivity.

To achieve a deeper understanding of trait interactions, **path coefficient analysis** offers a sophisticated statistical approach for partitioning the correlation between traits into direct and indirect effects. This method allows for a clear delineation between the immediate influence of a trait on yield (direct effects) and its impact mediated through other traits (indirect effects). Path analysis is conducted at three distinct levels:

- 1. Phenotypic Path Coefficients:** These represent the observable relationships between traits as influenced by both genetic factors and environmental conditions.
- 2. Genotypic Path Coefficients:** These coefficients reflect the genetic associations between traits, isolating the influence of environmental factors.
- 3. Environmental Path Coefficients:** These coefficients quantify the effects of environmental variables on trait relationships, independent of genetic influences.

By partitioning the total correlation into these components, path coefficient analysis provides a granular view of the direct and mediated effects of various traits on yield. This approach facilitates the identification of key traits that can be targeted for genetic enhancement. Genotypic effects, which tend to be more robust and stable than phenotypic effects, are particularly valuable in guiding selection strategies aimed at long-term yield improvement.

In this study, we employ correlation and path coefficient analyses to evaluate 90 rice restorer lines, focusing on 29 quantitative and qualitative traits. The objective is to elucidate the genetic and phenotypic relationships among these traits and to identify those most significantly associated with grain yield. By leveraging these insights, this research aims to inform the development of more effective hybridization strategies for rice breeding, thereby contributing to the optimization of rice productivity and its sustainability under changing global conditions.

Materials and Methods

The study was carried out at the Seed Breeding Farm, JNKVV, Jabalpur, as part of the Rice Improvement Project-2019, with lines seeded in Randomized Block Design (RBD). In terms of geography and fertility, the experimental region was relatively homogeneous. Crop protection methods were used during the crop growing phase, together with approved agronomic techniques, to ensure a satisfactory crop. The 90 restorer lines were planted in three replications, each with four rows, and five random plants from each replication were selected for twenty-nine quantitative features, namely, Days to panicle initiation (days), Days to 50% flowering (days), Flag leaf length (cm), Flag leaf width (cm), Stem length (cm), Stem thickness (mm), Plant height (cm), Number of tillers/plant, Number of productive tillers/plant, Panicle length (cm), Days to maturity (days), Total spikelets/panicle, Fertile spikelets/panicle, Grain length (mm), Grain breadth (mm), Thousand-grain weight (g), Decorticated grain length (mm), Decorticated grain breadth (mm), Length to breadth ratio of decorticated grain, Spikelet fertility (%), Spikelet density (%), Biological yield/plant (g), Panicle weight/plant (g), Panicle index (%), Harvest index (%), Hulling (%), Milling (%), Head rice recovery (%) and Grain yield/plant (g). Correlation coefficients were calculated for all quantitative characters' mean data exposed to combinations at the phenotypic, genotypic and environmental levels by the formula given by Miller et al. (1958).

$$r(X_i X_j) = \frac{\text{Cov.}(X_i X_j)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X_i) \text{Var}(X_j)}}$$

Where,

$r(X_i X_j)$ = Coefficient of correlation between characters X_i and X_j

$\text{Cov}(X_i X_j)$ = Covariance between characters X_i and X_j

$\text{Var}(X_i)$ = Variance of character X_i

$\text{Var}(X_j)$ = Variance of character X_j

The above formula was used to calculate genotypic, phenotypic, and environmental correlations by substituting corresponding variance and covariance. The estimation of covariance between two characteristics was obtained in the same way as the estimation of corresponding variance components was. Statistical analyses for the above characters were done following Singh and Chaudhary (1995) for correlation coefficient and Dewey and Lu (1959) for path analysis. Path coefficients were rated based on the scales given below

Value of direct or indirect effect	Rate or Scale
>1.00	Very high
0.3-0.99	High
0.2-0.29	Moderate
0.1-0.19	Low
0.0-0.09	Negligible

Results and Discussion

Effective selection in breeding programs requires a comprehensive understanding of the relationships between yield and its associated traits, particularly their genetic basis.

Correlation Analysis

Phenotypic and genotypic correlations were analyzed with grain yield per plant as the dependent variable. The genotypic correlation coefficients were consistently higher than the phenotypic values, indicating that genetic factors primarily drive the observed relationships. Grain yield showed significant positive correlations with panicle index, harvest index, fertile spikelets per panicle, 1000-grain weight, productive tillers, panicle weight, panicle length, and total spikelets per panicle. These findings align with previous studies (Ashfaq et al., 2012; Guru et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2018), highlighting the importance of these traits for improving yield in rice restorer lines.

At the phenotypic level, no traits exhibited negative correlations with yield. However, at the genotypic level, traits such as days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, flag leaf length, and stem length showed significant negative associations, suggesting they are not ideal for direct selection for yield improvement.

Panicle index (PI) and harvest index (HI) were positively associated with head rice recovery, though HI negatively correlated with grain width, indicating that larger grain size could reduce yield-contributing traits. Days to 50% flowering and maturity were positively correlated with yield-related traits, reinforcing the potential benefits of late-maturing lines as superior restorers.

The total number of tillers per plant showed a strong positive correlation with productive tillers and other yield-contributing traits, such as panicle length and panicle weight. Negative associations with plant height and stem length support the advantage of dwarf plants, which contribute to higher grain yield, consistent with Bhutta et al. (2019).

Additionally, a significant positive relationship was observed between panicle length and panicle weight per plant. The negative correlation between plant height and yield, linked to

excess vegetative growth and increased lodging susceptibility, underscores the benefit of selecting shorter-statured varieties for improved yield stability (Roy et al., 2015).

Path Coefficient Analysis

To further elucidate the contribution of traits to grain yield, genotypic correlations were decomposed into direct and indirect effects using path coefficient analysis (Wright, 1921). This analysis allows for a clearer understanding of cause-effect relationships between traits (Tables 3 and 4).

The traits exhibiting the highest direct positive effects on grain yield per plant were stem length, followed by panicle length, panicle index, spikelet density percentage, productive tillers per plant, length-to-breadth ratio, harvest index, biological yield per plant, and decorticated grain width. However, stem length was not identified as a reliable selection criterion for high-yielding genotypes, which aligns with Dongre et al. (2014) for stem length and Selvaraj et al. (2011) for panicle length. Contradictory results were reported by Sohgaura et al. (2014) and others for panicle index and by Govindraj et al. (2018) and Bhuj et al. (2018) for thousand-seed weight. Negative direct effects were observed for plant height, panicle weight per plant, total spikelets per plant, number of panicles per plant, total tillers per plant, and decorticated grain length, suggesting these traits are not optimal for yield improvement. The negative direct effect of plant height corroborates the findings of Akhter et al. (2011).

Panicle length had a strong positive indirect effect on grain yield via panicle weight per plant and the number of panicles per plant. Similarly, panicle index positively influenced grain yield through harvest index. Traits like fertile spikelets per plant and total productive tillers showed substantial positive indirect effects via total tillers per plant and panicle number. These findings highlight key traits to focus on for improving yield through indirect pathways.

Conclusion

The partitioning of correlation values in this study revealed that certain traits failed to establish a significant correlation with single-plant yield, likely due to substantial negative direct effects or minimal positive direct impacts. However, a thorough analysis of trait associations and path coefficients identified panicle length, panicle index, productive tillers per plant, and harvest index as key traits with both strong positive correlations and significant direct effects on yield. These traits emerge as critical determinants for yield improvement and provide a robust foundation for the development of high-yielding hybridization strategies. By focusing on these promising traits, breeding programs can

be more effectively tailored to enhance grain yield in rice, optimizing productivity in both conventional and advanced breeding systems.

Reference

- Akhter N, Nazir MF, Rabnawz A, Mahmood T, Safdar ME, Asif M and Rehman A 2011. Estimation of heritability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in 'fine grain rice (*Oryza sativa*). The J. Ani. and Pl. Sci. 21(4): 660-664.
- Benavente, Elena, and Estela Giménez. "Modern Approaches for the Genetic Improvement of Rice, Wheat and Maize for Abiotic Constraints-Related Traits: A Comparative Overview." Agronomy 11, no. 2 (2021): 376.
- Bhujel J, Sharma S, Shrestha J and Bhattacharai A. 2018. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in normal irrigated rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). Farming and Management 3(1): 19-22
- Bhutta MA, Munir S, Qureshi MK, Shahzad AN, Aslam K, Manzoor H and Shabir G. 2019. Correlation and path analysis of morphological parameters contributing to yield in rice (*Oryza sativa*) under drought stress. Pak. J. Bot., 51(1): 73-80 doi:10.30848/PJB2019-1(28)
- Burton, G.W. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proceedings of 6th International Grassland Congress. 1: 227-283.
- Dewey DR and Lu KH. 1959. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheatgrass seed production. Agronomy Journal 51: 515 – 518.
- Dhvaleshvar M, Malleshappa C and Kumar BD. 2019. Variability, correlation and path analysis studies of yield and yield attributing traits in advanced breeding lines of rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience 7(1): 267-273.
- Dongre PR, Mishra DK, Koutou GK and Singh SK. 2014. Estimation of genetic variability and correlation for grain yield and its components in RILs derived population of rice. JNKVV Research Journal 48(1): 55-59.
- FAO, 2019. FAO STAT- Food and Agricultural Organization. Rome. www.fao.org
- GovinthaRaj P, Manonmani S and Robin S. 2018. Character association and path analysis in bacterial blight resistance genes pyramided segregating population of rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). Genetika 50(1): 11-20.
- Guru T, Padma V, Reddy DVV, Rao PR and Rao DS. 2016. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for grain yield and other component traits in rice genotypes. International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research 6(5): 2321-0087
- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E. 1955. Genotypic and phenotypic correlations in soybeans and their implication in selection. Agronomy Journal. 47: 477-483.
- Katiyar D, Srivastava KK, Prakash S, Kumar M and Gupta M. 2019. Study correlation coefficients and path analysis for yield and its component characters in rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2019; 8(1): 1783-1787.
- Kumar S, Chauhan MP, Tomar A, Kasana RK and Kumar N. 2018. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). The Pharma Innovation Journal, 7(6): 20-26
- Lush, J.L. 1940. Inter-size correlation regression of offspring on dairy as a method of estimating heritability of characters. In: Proceedings American Society of Animal Production 32: 293-301.
- Majumdar, M.K., Chatterjee, S.D., Bose, S.D.P. and Bhattacharya, G. 1974. Variability interrelationships and coefficient analysis for some quantitative characters in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L) Moench).
- Miller PA, Williams JC and Comstock RE. 1958. Variance and Covariance in cotton. Agronomy Journal 50: 126-131
- Roy RK, Ranimajumder R, Sultana S, Mehoque and Ali MS. 2015. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield components in transplant Aman rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). Bangladesh J. Bot. 44(4): 529-535.
- Selvaraj CI, Nagarajan P, Thiagarajan K, Bharathi M and Rabindran R. 2011. Genetic parameters of variability, correlation and path coefficient studies for grain yield and other yield attributes among rice blast disease-resistant genotypes of rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). African Journal of Biotechnology 10(17): 3322-3334.
- Singh N.P., Choudhary, A.K. and Choudhary, S.P.S. 2001. Variability and correlation studies in some genotypes of cluster bean. Advances in Arid Legume Research 2(1): 14-18.
- Singh, R. K and Chaudhary, B. D., Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers New Delhi 1995, pp. 215-218.
- SohgauraN, Mishra DK, Koutou GK, Singh SK and Kumar V. 2014. Genetic evaluation of inter sub-specific derived RILs population for yield and quality attributes in rice. Trends in Biosciences, 7(18): 2631-2638.
- Surendran, U., Raja, P., Jayakumar, M. and Subramoniam, S.R., 2021. Use of efficient water-saving techniques for the production of rice in India under climate change scenario: A critical review. Journal of Cleaner Production, 309, p.127272.
- Tiwari, S., Koutou, G.K. and Pathak, N., 2021. Diversity Analysis of Grain Attributes in Restorer Lines of Rice (*Oryzae sativa*). In Biological Forum-An International Journal (Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 386-392).
- Wright, S., Correlation and causation. Journal of Agricultural Research, 1921, 20:557-85.

APPENDIX**Table 1:** Estimation of Genotypic and Phenotypic correlation of various yield attributing traits on grain yield

		DFF	DTM	FLL	FLW	TT/P	PT/P	ST	SL	PH	Pa/Pl	PL	BY/ Pl	PaWt/ Pl	Fsp/ pa	TSp/ pa	
DFF	P	1.00	0.25**	0.05	-0.11	0.01	0.00	-0.04	0.17 58**	0.18 58**	0.01	0.09	0.15**	0.09	0.21**	0.21 8**	
	G	1.00	0.27	0.07	-0.13	0.03	0.02	-0.05	0.19	0.20	0.02	0.11	0.19	0.11	0.22	0.23	
DTM	P		1.00	-0.02	0.03	-0.18 33**	-0.19 30**	0.02	0.08	0.08	-0.20 43**	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.14 52*	0.19 26**	
	G		1.00	-0.01	0.03	-0.22	-0.25	0.03	0.08	0.09	-0.25	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.15	0.20	
FLL	P			1.00	0.44**	-0.10	-0.08	-0.05	0.46** 58**	0.46 58**	-0.08	0.12	0.08	0.12	-0.09	-0.05	
	G			1.00	0.63	-0.15	-0.13	-0.05	0.67	0.69	-0.11	0.24	0.16	0.24	-0.12	-0.07	
FLW	P				1.00	-0.264	-0.21 80**	0.16 55**	0.26 60**	0.25 58**	-0.23 85**	-0.02	0.12	-0.02	-0.12 65*	-0.13 13*	
	G				1.00	-0.32	-0.29	0.23	0.35	0.35	-0.32	0.02	0.20	0.02	-0.14	-0.15	
TT/P	P					1.00	0.95 08**	-0.11 742**	-0.1 38*	-0.14 77**	0.92 78**	0.15 0.10	0.10	0.15 76**	-0.11 94*	-0.11	
	G					1.00	0.98	-0.17	-0.20	-0.16	0.95	0.27	-0.09	0.27	-0.14	-0.12	
PT/P	P						1.00	-0.12 07**	-0.17 35*	-0.14 78**	0.95 88*	0.13 91*	0.13 90*	0.13	-0.11	-0.10	
	G						1.00	-0.17	-0.20	-0.16	0.98	0.27	-0.07	0.27	-0.13	-0.12	
ST	P							1.00	-0.14 83*	-0.14 04*	-0.14 95*	0.02	0.2 40**	0.02	0.20	0.17	
	G							1.00	-0.21	-0.21	-0.17	0.03	0.39	0.03	0.25	0.21	
SL	P								1.00	0.98 72**	-0.17 07**	0.10	0.10	0.10	-0.04	-0.04	
	G								1.00	0.99	-0.19	0.08	0.11	0.08	-0.04	-0.04	
PH	P									1.00	-0.13 92*	0.25 64**	0.10	0.25 69**	-0.04	-0.04	-0.06
	G									1.00	-0.15	0.22	0.12	0.22	-0.04	-0.06	
Pa/Pl	P										1.00	0.16 56**	0.11	0.16 56**	-0.13 05*	-0.12 10*	
	G										1.00	0.28	-0.12	0.28	-0.16	-0.14	
PL	P											1.00	0.04	0.99 98**	0.03	-0.12	
	G											1.00	0.05	1.00	-0.03	-0.14	
BY/Pl	P												1.00	0.04	0.18 75**	0.13 93*	
	G												1.00	0.05	0.24	0.18	
PaWt/ Pl	P													1.00	-0.03	-0.12	
	G													1.00	-0.04	-0.14	

Fsp/	P													1.00	0.92
pa	G													1.00	0.92
TSp	P														1.00
/pa	G														1.00
Gy/	P	0.02	-0.09	-0.06	-0.10	0.34	0.34	0.04	-0.06	-0.01	0.34	0.33	0.18	0.33	0.35
Pl	G	0.03	-0.12	-0.11	-0.14	0.37	0.36	0.10	-0.10	-0.04	0.34	0.40	0.19	0.40	0.44
															0.28

Table 2: Estimation of Genotypic and Phenotypic correlation of various yield attributing traits on grain yield (Cont...)

		SF%	SD%	ThSWt.	PI	HI	GL	GW	DGL	DGW	L/B	H%	M%	HRR %
DFF	P	-0.03	0.19 90**	-0.22 58***	0.00	-0.13 81*	0.00	-0.07	-0.09	-0.1 37*	0.03	-0.07	0.06	0.03
	G	-0.03	0.21	-0.24	0.01	-0.14	-0.01	-0.08	-0.09	-0.16	0.04	-0.07	0.07	0.03
DTM	P	-0.14 72*	0.18 91**	-0.27 60***	-0.11	-0.13 43*	-0.15 20*	0.04	-0.28 23***	-0.14 02*	-0.09	0.14 36*	0.15 06*	0.07
	G	-0.15	0.19	-0.29	-0.14	-0.14	-0.16	0.05	-0.29	-0.17	-0.09	0.17	0.18	0.07
FLL	P	-0.04	-0.06	-0.08	-0.09	-0.15 22*	-0.14 99*	0.04	-0.04	0.06	-0.07	-0.09	-0.10	0.01
	G	-0.07	-0.10	-0.10	-0.19	-0.25	-0.22	0.09	-0.05	0.15	-0.14	-0.16	-0.16	0.02
FLW	P	0.02 40*	-0.12	0.08	-0.09	-0.18 26**	-0.08	0.06	-0.07	0.25 41***	-0.20 04***	0.02	-0.01	0.02
	G	0.02	-0.15	0.10	-0.15	-0.23	-0.09	0.08	-0.09	0.31	-0.24	0.05	0.00	0.02
TT/P	P	0.01 31*	-0.13	-0.08	0.29	0.14	0.20 50***	-0.04	0.07	-0.12	0.12 82*	0.02	0.08	0.10
	G	0.00	-0.16	-0.08	0.31	0.21	0.26	-0.08	0.08	-0.15	0.15	0.04	0.12	0.18
PT/P	P	0.02 63*	-0.12	-0.07	0.31	0.12	0.18	-0.01	0.07	-0.11	0.12 71*	-0.02	0.05	0.09
	G	0.01	-0.17	-0.07	0.29	0.20	0.25	-0.04	0.09	-0.13	0.14	-0.02	0.07	0.18
ST	P	-0.01 58*	0.15	0.07	0.02	-0.13 46*	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.26 75***	-0.19 24**	0.11	0.08	0.1443*
	G	-0.01	0.19	0.09	0.08	-0.17	0.02	0.06	0.00	0.29	-0.20	0.17	0.09	0.19
SL	P	0.14 37*	-0.06	-0.04	-0.07	-0.18 70**	-0.12	0.03	-0.02	0.09	-0.07	-0.04	-0.09	-0.03
	G	0.15	-0.05	-0.04	-0.10	-0.20	-0.12	0.04	-0.02	0.09	-0.07	-0.06	-0.12	-0.05
PH	P	0.17 72**	-0.11	-0.01	-0.07	-0.14 30*	-0.09	0.02	0.02	0.08	-0.04	-0.03	-0.08	-0.02
	G	0.19	-0.10	-0.01	-0.09	-0.16	-0.09	0.03	0.03	0.09	-0.03	-0.05	-0.11	-0.03
Pa/Pl	P	0.01 83*	-0.14	-0.07	0.30	0.15	0.12	-0.04	0.09	-0.10	0.12 91*	-0.04	0.05	0.08
	G	0.00	-0.18	-0.07	0.26	0.23	0.16	-0.09	0.11	-0.11	0.14	-0.03	0.06	0.15

PL	P	0.23 40**	-0.32 15***	0.1220*	-0.02	0.24 08***	0.16 50**	-0.06	0.26 87***	-0.01	0.17 13**	0.03	0.04	0.07	
	G	0.28	-0.32	0.15	0.03	0.28	0.19	-0.06	0.33	-0.01	0.21	0.04	0.04	0.12	
BY/Pl	P	0.10	0.12	-0.06	0.17 53**	-0.56 74***	-0.04	0.23 09***	-0.07	0.07	-0.08	-0.10	-0.01	-0.11	
	G	0.11	0.16	-0.06	0.19	-0.61	-0.03	0.27	-0.09	0.11	-0.13	-0.16	-0.02	-0.12	
PaWt/ Pl	P	0.23 56**	-0.32 30***	0.12 36*	-0.02	0.24 09***	0.16 48**	-0.06	0.26 9***	-0.01	0.17 17**	0.03	0.04	0.07	
	G	0.29	-0.32	0.15	0.03	0.28	0.19	-0.06	0.33	0.00	0.21	0.04	0.04	0.12	
Fsp/pa	P	-0.03 35***	0.87 23***	-0.23 37***	0.38 09*	0.15 38**	-0.19 97*	-0.12 73**	-0.17 -0.05	-0.10	0.04 0.12	0.04 61*	0.04	0.08	
	G	-0.03 35***	0.88	-0.24	0.50	0.16	-0.20	-0.14	-0.18	-0.05	-0.11	0.04	0.14	0.09	
TSp/pa	P	-0.38 77**	0.97 36***	-0.32 90***	0.33 31***	0.11 47***	-0.23 73*	-0.13 52***	-0.23 -0.03	-0.14 -0.03	0.01 0.01	0.08 0.08	0.02 0.02	0.02 0.02	
	G	-0.39 -0.39	0.98 0.34	-0.34	0.44	0.12	-0.24	-0.15	-0.24	-0.03	-0.16	0.01	0.09	0.03	
SF%	P	1.00 73***	-0.42 58***	0.29 0.10	0.08	0.13 96*	0.06 43*	0.15 -0.01	0.09 0.09	0.05 0.05	0.03 0.03	0.11 0.11			
	G	1.00 1.00	-0.43 -0.43	0.31 0.14	0.09	0.14	0.06	0.16	-0.01	0.09	0.06	0.04	0.13		
SD%	P	1.00 1.00	-0.34 52***	0.32 22***	0.06	-0.25 19***	-0.12	-0.27 98***	-0.02	-0.17 61**	0.01	0.07	0.01		
	G	1.00 1.00	-0.36 -0.36	0.41 0.41	0.06	-0.26	-0.13	-0.29	-0.02	-0.19	0.00	0.08	0.00		
ThSWt.	P			1.00	0.31	0.33	0.29	0.20	0.35	0.37	-0.02	-0.12	-0.15	-0.04	
	G			1.00	0.42	0.35	0.30	0.23	0.36	0.42	-0.02	-0.14	-0.18	-0.05	
PI	P				1.00	0.40 16***	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.15 97**	-0.09	-0.04	0.01	0.16 64**	
	G				1.00	0.51	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.22	-0.11	-0.06	0.04	0.21	
HI	P					1.00	0.12	-0.16 87**	0.22 94***	0.03	0.12	0.02	-0.02	0.13 71*	
	G					1.00	0.12	-0.19	0.24	0.03	0.13	0.03	-0.02	0.15	
GL	P						1.00	-0.06	0.50 11***	-0.17 39**	0.49 10***	-0.03	-0.08	-0.05	
	G						1.00	-0.05	0.52	-0.18	0.52	-0.04	-0.09	-0.05	
GW	P							1.00	-0.05	0.27 92***	-0.23 08***	-0.03	-0.07	0.00	
	G							1.00	-0.07	0.33	-0.27	-0.07	-0.09	0.02	
DGL	P								1.00	-0.03	0.72 23***	-0.12 44*	-0.13 88*	-0.07	
	G									1.00	-0.03	0.75	-0.14	-0.15	-0.07
DGW	P									1.00	-0.69 85***	-0.01	-0.02	0.11	
	G										1.00	-0.67	-0.03	-0.02	0.14

	P									1.00	-0.10	-0.10	-0.15
L/B	G									1.00	-0.10	-0.11	-0.17
H%	P									1.00	0.75	0.51	
	G									1.00	0.76	0.58	
M%	P									1.00	0.51	0.51	
	G									1.00	0.57	0.57	
HRR %	P										1.00		
	G										1.00		
Gy/Pl	P	0.17	0.19	0.35	0.93	0.48	0.09	0.02	0.12	0.15	-0.03	-0.02	0.02
	G	0.22	0.25	0.45	0.93	0.59	0.11	0.05	0.18	0.20	-0.02	-0.04	0.04
													0.23

Table 3: Estimation of Genotypic and Phenotypic Path coefficient showing direct and indirect effect of various component on grain yeild

		DFF	DTM	FLL	FLW	TT/P	PT/P	ST	SL	PH	Pa/Pl	PL	BY/ Pl	PaWt/ Pl	Fsp/ pa	TSp/ pa
DFF	G	-0.0 049	-0.0 013	-0.0 004	0.0 007	-0.0 001	-0.0 001	0.0 002	-0.0 009	-0.0 01	-0.0 001	-0.0 005	-0.00 09	-0.00 05	-0.0 011	-0.0 11
	P	0.00 32	0.0 008	0.00 02	-0.0 003	0 0	0 0	0.00 001	0.00 06	0.00 06	0.0 0	0.00 003	0.00 05	0.00 03	0.00 07	0.00 07
DTM	G	-0.0 034	-0.0 128	0.0 001	-0.0 003	0.0 028	0.0 32	-0.0 03	-0.0 01	-0.0 011	-0.0 032	-0.0 007	-0.00 12	-0.00 007	-0.0 19	-0.0 25
	P	-0.0 027	-0.0 106	0.0 002	-0.0 003	0.0 019	0.0 21	0.00 02	-0.00 08	-0.00 009	-0.0 022	-0.00 005	-0.00 09	-0.00 005	-0.00 15	-0.00 02
FLL	G	0.00 14	-0.0 001	0.0 182	0.0 115	-0.0 027	-0.0 23	0.00 09	-0.00 22	-0.00 126	-0.00 021	-0.00 043	-0.00 29	-0.00 043	-0.00 022	-0.00 12
	P	-0.0 003	0.0 001	-0.0 055	-0.0 024	0.0 006	0.0 05	0.00 03	-0.00 25	-0.00 026	-0.00 04	-0.00 006	-0.00 04	-0.00 006	-0.00 005	-0.00 003
FLW	G	0.0 036	-0.0 007	-0.0 167	-0.0 265	0.0 085	0.0 78	-0.0 062	-0.00 093	-0.00 092	-0.00 085	-0.00 006	-0.00 52	-0.00 006	-0.00 38	-0.00 039
	P			0.0 0	0.0 0	-0.0 001			0.0 0	0.0 001	0.0 001	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
TT/P	G	-0.0 048	0.0 36	0.02 41	0.0 527	-0.1 647	-0.16 19	0.02 77	0.03 27	0.02 57	0.02 565	-0.1 442	-0.0 52	-0.0 442	-0.0 23	-0.00 02
	P	0.0 0	0.0 003	0.00 02	0.00 004	-0.0 016	-0.00 15	0.00 002	0.00 03	0.00 002	0.00 015	-0.0 003	0.00 02	-0.00 003	0.00 02	0.00 02
PT/P	G	0.0 087	-0.0 964	-0.0 49	-0.1 141	0.3 822	0.38 88	-0.0 662	-0.07 71	-0.0 604	0.3 815	0.1 056	-0.02 77	0.10 54	-0.0 513	-0.0 481
	P	-0.0 0	-0.00 016	-0.00 07	-0.00 018	0.0 078	0.00 82	-0.0 01	-0.00 14	-0.0 012	-0.0 078	-0.0 011	-0.00 11	-0.00 011	-0.00 009	-0.00 008
ST	G	0.0 003	-0.0 002	0.00 03	-0.00 014	0.0 01	0.00 011	-0.0 062	-0.00 013	-0.0 013	0.00 011	-0.00 002	-0.00 24	-0.00 002	-0.00 015	-0.00 013
	P	-0.0 003	0.0 002	-0.00 004	-0.00 013	0.0 009	0.00 009	-0.0 079	-0.00 012	-0.0 011	-0.0 012	-0.00 02	-0.00 019	-0.00 002	-0.00 016	-0.00 14

SL	G	17.9	7.5	63.	33.3	-18.8	-18.7	-20.3	94.6	93.7	-18.2		10.7	7.5	-3.4	
		225	129	571	952	032	888	474	921	271	328	7.47	995	167	131	-4.1
PH	P	-6.2	-2.6	-16	-9.48	6.21	6.0	5.2	-35.6	-35.	6.09	-3.5	-3.4	-3.5	1.2	1.5
		732	871	.417	84	42	884	918	737	2156	13	223	014	414	907	489
Pa/Pl	G	-19.4	-8.2	-66.8	-33.7	15.0	15.0	19.9	-95.8	-96.	14.3	-21.2	-11.4	-21.3	3.8	6.0
		226	878	945	399	949	355	16	126	799	503	992	709	46	968	557
PL	P	6.8	2.96	17.0	9.3	-5.2	-5.2	-5.1	36.2	36.7	-5.1	9.4	3.6	9.4	-1.4	-2.2
		179	27	963	884	793	653	517	312	025	102	116	61	296	462	315
BY/Pl	G	-0.0	0.0	0.02	0.06	-0.17	-0.1	0.03	0.03	0.02	-0.1	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0
		046	465	15	04	79	838	27	61	78	873	526	226	525	296	27
PaWt/Pl	P	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0
		001	02	008	023	091	094	15	017	014	098	016	01	016	013	012
Fsp/pa	G	1.6	0.8	3.5	0.3	4.03	4.0	0.47	1.1	3.3	4.2	15.0	0.7	15.0	-0.5	-2.1
		231	369	869	613	24	796	47	847	044	205	175	233	176	231	205
TSp/pa	P	-0.5	-0.2	-0.6	0.0	-0.9	-0.8	-0.1	-0.5	-1.4	-0.9	-5.8	-0.2	-5.8	0.1	0.6
		422	734	818	93	183	078	343	745	92	636	183	579	172	541	744
PI	G	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.02	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		276	133	234	82	134	103	562	165	171	175	0.007	446	071	344	264
SF%	P	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		053	028	026	04	035	047	082	032	034	036	015	339	015	064	047
ThSWt.	G	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.2	-0.7	-0.0	-0.7	0.0	0.0
		824	426	829	179	066	086	238	611	696	157	693	375	693	279	0.11
ThSWt.	P	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.03	0.0	0.0	0.02	0.0	0.03	0.2	0.0	0.2	-0.0	-0.0
		211	106	266	036	61	318	053	27	588	79	289	102	29	063	269
Fsp/pa	G	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
		016	011	009	01	01	009	018	003	003	011	002	017	003	071	066
TSp/pa	P	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
		024	016	01	014	013	012	023	004	004	014	003	021	003	111	102
PI	G	-0.1	-0.0	0.0	0.07	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.4	-0.5
		134	986	338	41	613	621	039	217	314	721	708	914	717	623	011
PI	P	0.0	0.03	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.02	0.1	0.18
		415	66	095	249	204	192	326	082	115	23	22	265	23	752	99
SF%	G	0.0	0.0						-0.0	-0.0		-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0
		0	002	001	0	0	0	0	002	003	0	004	002	004	0	006
SD%	P	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0
		003	018	005	003	001	002	001	017	021	002	028	012	028	004	047
ThSWt.	G	0.1	0.1	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.1	-0.0	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.17	0.54	
		167	085	544	849	888	921	075	302	546	024	758	869	68	0.49	63
ThSWt.	P	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.18
		381	362	123	237	255	242	298	114	209	284	615	221	618	671	63
PI	G	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0
		223	269	099	095	072	066	084	034	013	068	141	06	143	222	316
PI	P	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
		035	042	012	012	012	011	011	005	002	011	019	01	019	036	051
PI	G	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.2	0.2	0.	-0.0	-0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.38	0.3
		091	093	46	148	332	213	059	73	682	989	227	445	228	28	313
PI	P	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.27	0.2	0.02	-0.06	-0.0	0.27	-0.0	0.16	-0.0	0.35	
		01	966	825	85	36	861	16	52	664	71	189	06	184	14	0.305

HI	G	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.02	0.03	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.02	0.01
		212	213	369	344	309	299	259	305	38	39	427	91	427	34	73
GL	P	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.00	0.0	-0.02	0.0	0.0	0.0
		062	061	069	082	065	057	061	084	064	71	109	56	109	068	051
GW	G	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.00	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.01
		003	096	35	055	158	151	01	075	057	97	117	018	117	121	46
DGL	P	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0		0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.00
		0	023	023	013	031	028	0	018	013	019	025	006	025	03	36
DGW	G	0.00	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.00	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		13	008	015	014	014	007	009	06	004	015	01	045	009	023	024
L/B	P	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
		006	003	004	005	003	001	003	003	002	003	005	018	005	01	011
H%	G	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.01	-0.0	0.02	0.0
		127	414	068	124	116	013	002	023	045	159	467	21	468	54	337
M%	P	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0		0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0
		06	018	002	004	004	005	0	001	002	006	017	004	017	011	015
HRR	G	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.02	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
		159	168	154	313	151	129	96	094	091	115	005	112	005	051	029
GY/ Pl	P	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		01	01	005	019	009	008	02	006	006	008	001	005	001	003	002
L/B	G	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.02	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0
		068	176	27	476	92	279	399	129	068	276	404	248	403	209	304
H%	P	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.00	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0
		002	005	004	01	007	006	01	003	002	07	009	04	009	005	008
M%	G	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00
		031	077	072	022	017	009	076	027	023	0016	019	07	019	18	04
HRR	P	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.00	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.00	0.0	0.00	0.0
		012	026	016	003	004	004	21	007	006	007	005	18	005	07	003
HRR	G	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.00	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
		006	015	013	0	01	006	008	0.001	009	005	003	001	03	012	007
HRR	P	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.00	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
		01	026	018	003	014	008	014	015	13	008	006	002	006	022	014
GY/ Pl	G	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00
		002	004	001	001	12	011	012	003	002	009	008	008	008	006	02
GY/ Pl	P	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.00	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		001	001	0	0	002	002	003	001	0	02	001	002	001	002	001
GY/ Pl	G	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.3	0.3	0.09	-0.0	-0.0	0.3	0.3	0.1		0.4	0.3
		334	223	089	372	734	626	56	982	396	408	958	916	0.396	408	42
GY/ Pl	P	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.3	0.32	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.27
		184	942	625	995	367	442	434	648	104	439	71	821	277	541	61

Table 4: Estimation of Genotypic and Phenotypic Path coefficient showing direct and indirect effect of various component on grain yield (Cont...)

		ThS											HRR			
		SF%	SD%	Wt.	PI	HI	GL	GW	DGL	DGW	L/B	H%	M%	%		
DFF	G	Ge-notypic	0.0 001	-0.0 01	0.0 012	-0.0 001	0.0 007	0	0.0 004	0.0 004	0.0 008	-0.00 02	0.00 03	-0.0 003	-0.0 002	
	P	Pheno-typic	-0.00 01	0.00 06	-0.0 007		-0.0 0	0	-0.0 002	-0.0 003	-0.0 004	0.00 01	-0.0 002	0.0 002	0.0 001	
	G	Ge-notypic	0.0 019	-0.0 025	0.0 036	0.0 018	0.0 018	02	0.0 006	0.0 037	0.0 021	0.0 011	-0.0 022	-0.0 023	-0.0 009	
	P	Pheno-typic	0.0 016	-0.0 02	0.0 029	0.0 011	0.0 014	016	0.0 005	0.0 03	0.0 015	0.0 01	-0.0 015	-0.0 016	-0.0 007	
DTM	G	Ge-notypic	-0.0 012	-0.0 018	-0.0 019	-0.0 035	-0.0 045	-0.0 04	0.0 017	0.0 009	0.0 028	0.0 025	-0.0 029	-0.0 029	0.0 003	
	P	Pheno-typic	0.0 002	0.0 004	0.0 004	0.0 005	0.0 008	0.0 008	0.0 002	0.0 002	0.0 003	0.0 004	0.0 005	0.0 006	0.0 001	
	G	Ge-notypic	-0.0 004	0.0 04	-0.0 027	0.0 04	0.0 061	0.0 024	0.0 022	0.0 023	0.0 082	0.0 064	-0.0 013	-0.0 001	-0.0 006	
	P	Pheno-typic	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 001	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	
FLL	G	Ge-notypic	-0.0 002	0.0 004	-0.0 004	-0.0 005	-0.0 008	-0.0 008	0.0 002	0.0 002	0.0 003	0.0 004	0.0 005	0.0 006	0.0 001	
	P	Pheno-typic	0.0 002	0.0 004	0.0 004	0.0 005	0.0 008	0.0 008	0.0 002	0.0 002	0.0 003	0.0 004	0.0 005	0.0 006	0.0 001	
	G	Ge-notypic	-0.0 004	0.0 04	-0.0 027	0.0 04	0.0 061	0.0 024	0.0 022	0.0 023	0.0 082	0.0 064	-0.0 013	-0.0 001	-0.0 006	
	P	Pheno-typic	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 001	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	
FLW	G	Ge-notypic	-0.0 002	0.0 263	-0.0 126	-0.0 506	-0.0 339	-0.0 427	0.0 139	0.0 136	0.0 45	0.0 246	0.0 063	0.0 194	0.0 298	
	P	Pheno-typic	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 0	0.0 -0.0	0.0 -0.0	0.0 -0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 -0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 -0.0	0.0 -0.0	0.0 -0.0	0.0 -0.0	
	G	Ge-notypic	-0.0 002	0.0 024	-0.0 643	-0.0 271	-0.0 133	-0.0 773	-0.0 965	0.0 168	0.0 359	0.0 497	0.0 555	0.0 077	0.0 253	0.0 688
	P	Pheno-typic	0.0 0	0.0 001	0.0 01	0.0 006	0.0 025	0.0 01	0.0 015	0.0 001	0.0 006	0.0 009	0.0 01	0.0 002	0.0 004	0.0 007
PT/P	G	Ge-notypic	0.0 001	-0.0 001	-0.0 01	-0.0 006	-0.0 025	-0.0 01	-0.0 015	-0.0 001	-0.0 006	-0.0 009	-0.0 01	-0.0 002	-0.0 004	-0.0 007
	P	Pheno-typic	0.0 0	-0.0 0.0	-0.0 -0.0	-0.0 0.0	-0.0 0.0	-0.0 0.0	-0.0 0.00	-0.0 0.0						
	G	Ge-notypic	0.0 001	-0.0 001	-0.0 012	-0.0 006	-0.0 005	-0.0 011	-0.0 001	-0.0 004	-0.0 0	-0.0 018	-0.0 13	-0.0 01	-0.0 006	-0.0 012
	P	Pheno-typic	-0.0 0	0.0 001	0.0 012	0.0 005	0.0 002	0.0 011	0.0 0	0.0 003	0.0 0	0.00 21	0.00 015	0.00 009	0.00 007	0.00 011
ST	G	Ge-notypic	0.0 001	-0.0 012	-0.0 005	-0.0 002	-0.0 011	-0.0 0	-0.0 003	-0.0 0	-0.00 21	-0.00 015	-0.00 009	-0.00 007	-0.00 011	
	P	Pheno-typic	-0.0 0	0.0 001	0.0 012	0.0 005	0.0 002	0.0 011	0.0 0	0.0 003	0.0 0	0.00 21	0.00 015	0.00 009	0.00 007	0.00 011

	G	Ge-notypic	14.2	-5.1	-3.4	-9.1	-19.2	-11.7	3.3	-1.5	8.7	-6.2	-5.6	-11.0	-4.5
	P	Phe-notypic	486	307	091	051	604	002	707	151	529	246	079	539	241
SL	P	Phe-notypic	-5.1	2.1	1.2	2.5	6.6	4.16	-1.2	0.7	-3.0	2.3	1.4	3.0	1.0
	G	Ge-notypic	262	224	57	399	693	76	017	067	391	198	561	449	265
	G	Ge-notypic	-18.1	9.4	1.3	8.6	15.3	9.0	-2.5	-3.0	-8.6	3.3	5.0	10.5	2.8
PH	P	Phe-notypic	679	993	464	939	329	345	618	64	829	682	192	189	301
	P	Phe-notypic	6.5	-4.0	-0.5	-2.6	-5.2	-3.1	0.8	0.8	2.9	-1.3	-1.2	-2.8	-0.6
	G	Ge-notypic	022	158	376	598	47	911	593	774	544	084	798	242	41
	G	Ge-notypic	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.02	0.0	-0.0	0.02	-0.02	0.0	-0.0	-0.0
Pa/Pl	P	Phe-notypic	003	345	136	491	424	98	165	211	14	65	065	121	276
	P	Phe-notypic	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
	G	Ge-notypic	001	015	007	03	015	012	004	009	0.001	013	004	004	008
	G	Ge-notypic	4.2	-4.7	2.2	0.4	4.2	2.8	-0.8	4.9	-0.0	3.1	0.6	0.5	1.8
	P	Phe-notypic	491	415	418	494	759	944	82	721	788	024	395	807	389
PL	P	Phe-notypic	-1.3	1.8	-0.7		-1.4	-0.9	0.3	-1.5	0.0	-0.9	-0.1	-0.2	-0.3
	G	Ge-notypic	613	708	097	0.12	008	602	382	634	817	969	729	154	792
	G	Ge-notypic	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.03	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.01
BY	P	Phe-notypic	154	226	092	275	876	043	95	124	159	184	225	023	75
/PI	P	Phe-notypic	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.00	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
	G	Ge-notypic	033	039	021	06	193	014	78	023	023	028	032	004	038
	G	Ge-notypic	-1.3	1.8	-0.7		-1.4	-0.9	0.3	-1.5	0.0	-0.9	-0.1	-0.2	-0.3
PaWt	P	Phe-notypic	192	443	165	231	189	479	442	551	036	588	331	288	932
	P	Phe-notypic	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
/PI	G	Ge-notypic	539	739	283	046	552	377	132	616	033	393	066	081	149
	G	Ge-notypic	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
Fsp	P	Phe-notypic	002	063	017	036	011	014	01	013	004	008	003	01	007
/pa	P	Phe-notypic	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
	G	Ge-notypic	003	097	026	043	017	021	014	02	005	011	004	014	009
	G	Ge-notypic	0.1	-0.4	0.1	-0.2	-0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
TSp	P	Phe-notypic	93	918	679	187	579	205	742	197	143	779	048	441	126
/pa	P	Phe-notypic	-0.0	0.18	-0.0	0.06	0.02	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	P	Phe-notypic	736	49	625	33	17	446	261	447	051	28	027	156	046

	G	Ge-notypic	-0.0	0.0	-0.00	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
	P	Pheno-typic	02	007	05	002	001	002	001	002	0	001	001	001
SF%	P	Pheno-typic	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.00	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.00	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
	G	Ge-notypic	12	052	036	12	01	017	007	019	01	011	006	003
	G	Ge-notypic	-0.2	0.5	-0.19	0.2	0.03	-0.1	-0.0	-0.1	-0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0
	P	Pheno-typic	393	566	77	292	26	442	712	594	132	04	013	425
SD%	P	Pheno-typic	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.03	-0.0	-0.0
	G	Ge-notypic	817	91	66	616	107	482	227	535	042	37	024	137
	G	Ge-notypic	0.02	-0.0	0.09	0.0	0.03	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
ThS	P	Pheno-typic	87	335	42	398	28	.028	216	343	397	019	132	174
Wt.	P	Pheno-typic	045	053	154	048	052	045	032	054	058	002	018	023
	G	Ge-notypic	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.03	0.0	0.04	0.1	-0.0	-0.0	0.0
	G	Ge-notypic	033	126	206	592	889	79	552	92	686	818	484	272
PI	P	Pheno-typic	905	951	88	157	678	308	255	255	463	828	368	12
	G	Ge-notypic	0.01	0.0	0.05	0.0	0.1	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0
	G	Ge-notypic	41	088	23	769	501	179	291	362	051	188	044	027
HI	P	Pheno-typic	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.04	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0
	P	Pheno-typic	037	025	153	181	51	053	076	103	012	053	008	009
	G	Ge-notypic	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0
	G	Ge-notypic	087	157	181	03	073	61	032	317	11	318	026	057
GL	P	Pheno-typic	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0
	G	Ge-notypic	021	039	045	005	018	15	009	077	027	075	005	012
	G	Ge-notypic	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0
	G	Ge-notypic	01	021	038	012	032	009	17	011	054	044	011	015
GW	P	Pheno-typic	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0
	P	Pheno-typic	005	009	016	002	013	005	079	004	022	018	002	005
	G	Ge-notypic	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0
	G	Ge-notypic	222	404	514	091	34	736	093	41	046	065	193	214
DGL	P	Pheno-typic	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.00	0.0	0.0
	P	Pheno-typic	01	018	023	002	015	032	003	07	002	47	008	009

	G	Ge-notypic	-0.0 008	-0.0 024	0.0 426	0.0 225	0.0 034	-0.0 183	0.0 329	-0.0 033	0.1 012	-0.0 677	-0.0 026	-0.0 017	0.0 139
DGW	P	Phe-no-typic	0.0 001	0.0 002	-0.0 028	-0.0 012	-0.0 002	0.0 013	-0.0 021	0.0 002	-0.0 07	0.0 051	0.0 001	0.0 001	-0.0 008
	G	Ge-notypic	0.0 185	-0.0 365	-0.0 04	-0.0 211	0.02 44	0.1 021	-0.0 521	0.1 476	-0.1 307	0.1 955	-0.0 191	-0.0 207	-0.0 334
L/B	P	Phe-no-typic	0.0 005	-0.0 009	-0.0 001	-0.0 005	0.0 006	0.0 025	-0.0 012	0.0 037	-0.0 036	0.0 051	-0.0 005	-0.0 005	-0.0 008
	G	Ge-notypic	0.0 028	0.0 001	-0.0 063	-0.0 029	0.0 013	-0.0 019	-0.0 029	-0.0 062	-0.0 012	-0.0 044	0.0 451	0.0 343	0.0 261
H%	P	Phe-no-typic	0.0 009	0.0 002	-0.0 021	-0.0 007	0.0 003	-0.0 006	-0.0 006	-0.0 023	-0.0 002	-0.0 018	0.0 184	0.0 138	0.0 095
	G	Ge-notypic	-0.0 003	-0.0 006	0.0 016	-0.0 003	0.0 002	0.0 008	0.0 008	0.0 013	0.0 001	0.0 009	-0.0 065	0.0 09	-0.0 049
M%	P	Phe-no-typic	-0.0 005	-0.0 012	0.0 026	-0.0 002	0.0 004	0.0 014	0.0 011	0.0 024	0.0 003	0.0 017	0.0 13	0.0 17	0.0 088
	G	Ge-notypic	0.0 008	0.0 0	-0.0 003	0.0 014	0.0 01	-0.0 003	0.0 001	-0.0 005	0.0 009	0.0 011	0.0 037	0.0 037	0.0 064
HRR %	P	Phe-no-typic	0.0 002	0.0 0	-0.0 001	0.0 004	0.0 003	0.0 001	0.0 0	-0.0 002	0.0 002	0.0 003	0.0 011	0.0 011	0.0 022
	G	Ge-notypic	0.2 16	0.2 541	0.4 509	0.9 267	0.5 856	0.1 108	0.0 495	0.1 805	0.19 81	-0.0 216	-0.0 367	0.0 423	0.2 349
Gy/Pl	P	Phe-no-typic	0.1 672	0.1 926	0.3 53	0.9 345	0.4 805	0.0 851	0.0 151	0.1 221	0.1 467	-0.0 258	-0.0 247	0.0 204	0.1 778