Effect of crop geometry and fertility levels on growth, yield and quality of kharif onion in semi-arid conditions

A.K. Soni and S. Pareek*

Department of Horticulture, SKN College of Agriculture, Jobner-303 328

Abstract

A field experiment to study the effect of crop geometry and fertility levels on growth, yield and quality of kharif onion was conducted at Horticulture Farm, SKN College of Agriculture, Jobner during kharif seasons of 2001 and 2002. Plant spacing 45cm x 10 cm was superior over different spacing in relation to growth (number of leaves plant⁻¹, fresh and dry weight of leaves), yield attributes (neck thickness, neck length, equatorial diameter, polar diameter, number of scales bulb⁻¹, fresh weight and volume of bulb) and quality attributes (N, P and K in bulb). Yield of bulbs was maximum at 30 cm x 10 cm spacing. Fifty per cent recommended dose of NPK + vermicompost 2.5 t ha⁻¹ significantly improved the growth, yield and quality attributes (pungency and vitamin C) over rest of the treatments.

Key words: Onion, spacing, fertility levels, growth, yield, quality

Introduction

Onion (Allium cepa L.) is one of the important bulb and cash crop. India ranks second in onion production, which shares 5 per cent of total vegetable production (Anonymous, 2005). Generally, onion is cultivated in rabi season but early kharif and late kharif crops are also taken in various states. During October-November, there is shortage of onion in the market, which leads to high price. Therefore, production of onion in kharif season is more important to have continuous supply of onion round the year. However, in Rajasthan, kharif onion is cultivated in very less area due to the lack of suitable production technology. The optimization of crop geometry is an important factor, which has direct influence on growth, bulb yield and quality. Integrated nutrient supply system for the crop by judicious mixture of organic manure along with the inorganic fertilizer has a number of agronomic and environmental efficiencies. The information on the balance use of chemical fertilizers along with vermicompost for kharif season onion in arid and semi-arid regions is very scarce. Hence, keeping these facts in view an investigation on effect of crop geometry and fertility levels on growth, yield and quality of kharif onion (cv. N-53) in semi-arid conditions was conducted.

*Corresponding author's E-mail: sunil_ciah@yahoo.co.in

Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted using N-53 cultivar of onion at the Horticulture Research Farm, SKN College of Agriculture, Jobner. Experiment was conducted for two consecutive years in kharif season of 2001 and 2002. The soil of the expermental site was loamy sand (85.2% sand, 9.2% silt and 5.4% clay), pH of 8.0, electrical conductivity of 1.20 dS m⁻¹, available carbon, 0.16%, and available N, P.O, and K,O of 130, 15.20 and 140 kg ha⁻¹, respectively.

INDIAN JOURNAL OF ARID HORTICULTURE 2007, Vol. 2 (1): 27-31

The experiment comprised of 32 combinations of four spacing and eight levels of fertilizers related to recommended dose along with vermicompost. The spacing treatment consisted of 30 cm x10 cm (S₁), 30 cm x 5 cm (S₂), 45 cm x 10 cm (S₃) and 45 cm x 15 cm (S₄). Fertility levels used were no fertilizer (T₁), 75 per cent of recommended dose of NPK (T₂), 100 per cent of recommended dose of NPK (T₃), 125 per cent of recommended dose of NPK (T₄), vermicompost @ 2.5 tha⁻¹ (T₅), 25 per cent of recommended dose of NPK + 2.5 tha⁻¹ vermicompost (T₆), 50 per cent of recommended dose of NPK + 2.5 tha⁻¹ vermicompost (T₇), and 75 per cent of recommended dose of NPK + 2.5 tha⁻¹ vermicompost (T₈). The experiment was laid out in split plot design with spacing in main plot and fertility levels in subplots, having 4 replication.

The recommended dose of NPK for onion crop was 100:50:100 kg ha⁻¹. The application of urea was given in two split doses, first at the time of planting and remaining half dose 40 days after planting. Single super phosphate and Murate of Potash were applied as basal dose. The

-	
S	
ar	
Š	
3	
f2	
0	
2	
Ť	
ŏ	
<u>e</u>	
ě	
ŝ	
÷	
.	
2	
ĕ	
ō	
5	
,°	
of	
\$	
te	
2	
1	
Ē	
63	
P	
<u>e</u> .	
~	
P	
31	
р	
e	
~~	
÷	
7	
6	
50	
-	
-=	
e	
5	
-	
÷	
=	
E	
f,	
р	
ar	
60	
.5	
2	
ä	
ŝ	
of	
#	
e,	
Eff	
ш	
9	
q	
,03	
_	

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	No. of leaves plant ⁻¹ at harvest	Fresh weight of leaves at harvest	Dry weight of leaves at harvest	Neck thickness (cm)	Neck length (cm)	Equatorial diameter (cm)	Polar diameter (cm)	No. of scales bulb ⁻¹	Thickness of scale (cm)	Fresh weight of bulb	Volume of bulb (cc)	Bulb yield (q ha' ¹)	
Spacing	4													
s,	50.97	9.95	45.40	5.67	0.82	5.87	4.92	4.64	6.23	0.231	77.41	49.65	257.96	
S,	50.92	10.00	48.47	5.70	0.86	6.04	5.18	4.64	6.20	0.242	77.82	51.10	172.97	
S,	51.15	10.56	52.69	6.02	0.89	6.16	5.24	4.84	6.46	0.235	79.09	52.98	174.64	
S,	51.07	10.61	49.16	5.92	0.91	6.31	5.28	4.81	6.35	0.244	80.32	53.09	115.65	
C D at 5%	SN	0.359	1.153	0.179	0.073	0.185	1210	0 114	0 177	0.006	1 340	1 572	1 563	
Fertility levels													700.1	
	38.42	6.61	32.68	3.89	0.62	5.73	4.07	3.59	4.74	0.188	56.59	41.63	128.76	
. ~	52.29	9.80	48.32	5.52	0.67	5.81	4.80	4.65	4.85	0.212	69.27	48.05	158.51	
	52.96	10.67	51.19	6.10	0.72	5.94	5.34	4.83	6.57	0.248	79.53	53.74	182.03	
	52.82	10.36	48.77	5.81	0.81	6.08	5.25	4.74	6.26	0.229	76.39	53.50	174.97	
, s.	52.47	10.08	47.90	5.71	0.93	6.21	5.15	4.69	6.14	0.221	71.11	51.18	161.27	
. 9	52.99	11.17	52.51	6.26	1.02	6.28	5.43	4.97	6.79	0.263	86.48	54.37	198.10	
	53.11	16.11	56.92	6.78	1.07	6.32	5.62	5.25	7.17	0.274	94.78	56.03	224.29	
. *	53.16	11.63	55.13	6.57	III	6.40	5.60	5.15	6.95	0.272	95.14	55.15	214.54	
C D at 5%	1.832	0.338	1.199	0.186	0:030	0.187	0.153	0.143	0.163	0.007	1.230	1.860	7.301	
	!													

vermicompost contained 2.5 per cent N, 1.5 per cent P2O, and 1.5 per cent K_O. All the other cultural operations were carried out as per recommended package of practices for onion crop.

The observation on various traits like plant height. number of leaves per plant, fresh weight of leaves at harvest, dry weight of leaves, neck thickness, neck length, equatorial diameter, polar diameter, number of scales bulb thickness of scales, fresh weight of bulb, volume of bulb and bulb yield were recorded. Among quality attributes TSS, vitamin C, N, P, K and S contents of bulb and allyl propyl disulphide (pungency) content in bulbs were analysed.

Results and discussion

Growth attributes

Plant spacing had non-significant effect on plant height whereas, significantly higher number of leaves and more fresh and dry weight of leaves at harvest were noticed with the wider plant spacing i.e., 45 cm x 10 cm and 45 cm x 15 cm whereas S3 and S4 were at par. This might be due to the fact that wider plant spacing caused lesser competition for space, nutrients and light. Significantly more plant height, number of leaves per plant, fresh and dry weight of leaves per plant at harvest were recorded with 50 per cent recommended dose of NPK along with vermicompost 2.5 t ha" (Table 1). However, it was statistically at par with 75 per cent recommended dose of NPK along with 2.5 that vermicompost (Tg). The improvement in growth parameters with the application of vermicompost and NPK might be due to better water holding capacity and supply and availability of major and micronutrients due to favourable soil condition (Reddy et al., 1998). The present trend of increase is supported by the findings of Rizk (1997) in onion.

Yield attributes and Yield

Neck thickness, neck length, equatorial diameter, polar diameter, number of scales bulb-1, thickness of scales, fresh weight of bulb and volume of bulb increased with the wider plant spacing of 45 cm x 15 cm or 45 cm x 10 cm (Table 1). This might be due to the lesser number of plants in a given area causing lesser competition for nutrient, increasing food assimilatory efficiency and thereby deposited more food in bulbs. However, maximum bulb yield was recorded in closer spacing (30 cm x 10 cm), whereas minimum in wider spacing (45 cm x 15 cm). This was due to lesser number of bulbs accommodated in wider spacing. Increased yield of onion due to closer spacing had also been recorded by Yadav et al. (2002). The significant improvement in yield attributes of onion was recorded with 50 per cent recommended dose of NPK along with 2.5 t ha vermicompost (Table 1). This treatment also resulted into increased yield by 74.19 per cent over control and by 39.07 per cent over the vermicompost alone (T_s). The present trends of increase in yield and yield attributes are in close conformity with the findings of Patil et al. (2002) in onion. Interaction effects of plant geometry and fertility levels

The interaction effect of spacing and fertility level was found significant for equatorial diameter, fresh weight of bulb and bulb yield. Maximum values for equatorial diameter and fresh weight of bulb were observed when 50 per cent recommended dose of NPK (50:25:50 kg NPK ha') along with vermicompost 2.5 t har (T,) was applied in conjunction with the spacing of 45 x 10 cm (S.). However, the maximum bulb yield (Table 2) was observed in the

Table 2. Interaction effect of plant spacing x fertility levelon bulb yield (q ha') of onion (Pooled of 2 years)

	S,	s,	s,	S,	Mean
Τ,	184.03	123.85	124.55	82.62	128.76
Τ,	227.91	153.18	152.04	100.91	158.51
Τ,	260.53	173.76	177.02	116.82	182.03
T,	250.46	168.07	169.22	112.12	174.97
T	228.88	155.62	156.64	103.93	164.27
Т, Т,	284.20	189.40	191.73	127.10	198.10
т,	323.96	215.93	220.91	136.39	224.29
T _a	303.75	204.00	205.06	145.36	214.54
Mean	257.96	172.97	174.64	115.65	
			C D at 5%	6	
S same, T	different		14.601		
S different	T same		18.089		

treatment combination S1T7. Although, both fertility levels and plant spacing independently brought significant variation in yield attributes but interaction of S, x T, showed that response of fertility level was governed by plant spacing and vice-versa. These findings corroborate with the findings of Sharma et al. (2003).

Quality attributes

It was observed that plant spacing had non-significant effect on TSS, sulphur content, allyl-propyl-disulphide and ascorbic acid content. Similar results were also reported by Naik and Hosamani (2000) in onion. Whereas, significant increase in N, P and K contents in bulb with wider plant spacing i.e., 45 cm x 10 cm and 45 cm x 15 cm was noticed (Table 3). Wider spacing, increased availability of nutrients, light and moisture to plant coupled with increased metabolic activity at the cellular level might have increased the nutrient uptake and accumulation in the vegetative plant parts, improved metabolism led to greater translocation of these nutrients to repository organ (bulb) of the crop. Significant increase in quality attributes with wider spacing was earlier reported by Jha et al. (2000). Increase in fertility levels up to 50 per cent recommended dose of NPK along with vermicompost 2.5 t ha" increased NPK content and allylpropyl-disulphide of bulb (Table 3). Sharma et al. (2003) also reported the same results.

Economic feasibility

The economics of various treatment combinations with benefit:cost ratio are given in table 4. The data revealed A.K. Soni and Sunil Pareek / Indian Journal of Arid Horticulture, 2007, Vol. 2 (1): 27-31

Treatments	TSS (%)	S content (%)	Allyl propyl disulphide	Ascorbic acid (mg 100g-1)	N content (%)	P content (%)	K content (%)	-
Spacing								
S,	12.18	0.666	6.82	9.42	0.760	0.339	1.09	
S, S, S,	12.20	0.669	6.86	9.46	0.768	0.343	1.09	
S,	12.22	0.677	6.94	9.53	0.786	0.357	1.12	
S,	12.23	0.675	6.89	9.47	0.777	0.351	1.12	
C D at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.011	0.007	0.175	
Fertility levels						0.007	0.175	
T,	12.04	0.637	6.57	9.20	0.539	0.263	1.08	
Τ,	12.09	0.658	6.67	9.23	0.754	0.280	1.09	
Τ,	12.15	0.678	6.95	9.58	0.801	0.343	1.12	
T,	12.20	0.672	6.81	9.44	0.794	0.315	1.10	
T _s	12.22	0.666	6.74	9.36	0.785	0.295	1.09	
T ₆	12.27	0.684	7.01	9.62	0.814	0.380	1.12	
Т,	12.32	0.692	7.23	9.66	0.846	0.474	1.12	
T _s	12.36	0.688	7.07	9.68	0.853	0.430	1.12	
C D at 5%	0.232	0.011	0.187	0.188	0.012	0.009	0.016	
N.S. = not significant.							data ban ba	

Table 3. Effect of spacing and fertility levels on quality attributes of onion cv. N-53 (Pooled of 2 years)

Table 4. Comparative economics of various treatments

					1.5.1			
Treatme combina		Yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha ^{-t})	Treatment cost (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Total cost of cultivation (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Gross returns (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Net returns (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	B:C
S,T, S,T,	211	184.03 227.91	21400 21400	5800 7500	27200 28900	101217	74817	2.75:
S,T,		260.53	21400			125351	96451	3.34:
				8105	29505	143291	113786	3.86:
S,T,		250.46	21400	8683	30083	137753	107670	3.58:
S,T,		228.88	21400	10800	32200	125884	93684	2.90:
S,T.		284.20	21400	11342	32742	156310	123568	3.77:
5,1,		323.96	21400	11953	33353	178178	144825	4.34:
S,T, S,T, S,T, S,T, S,T, S,T, S,T, S,T,		303.75	21400	12530	33930	167063	133133	3.92:
5,1	-0415	123.85	21400	5300	26700	68118	41418	1.55:
5,1,		153.18	21400	7030	28430	107226	78796	2.77:
5,1,		173.76	21400	7605	29005	121632	92627	3.19:
5,1,		168.07	21400	8183	29583	117649	88066	2.97:
S,1,		155.62	21400	10300	31700	108934	77234	2.44:
5,16		189.40	21400	10842	32242	132580	100338	3.11:
S,T,		215.93	21400	11453	32853	151151	118298	
S,T, S,T, S,T,		204.00	21400	12030	33430	142800	109370	3.60:
S,T,		124.55	21400	5300	26700	68503	41803	3.27:
S,T,		152.04	21400	7030	28430	106428	77998	1.56:
S,T,		177.02	21400	7605	29005	150467		2.74:
S,T,		168.22	21400	8183	29583	142987	121462	4.18:
S,T,		156.64	21400	10300	31700	133144	113404	3.83:1
S, T.		191.73	21400	10842	32242	162971	101444	3.26:1
S,T,		220.91	21400	11453	32853	187774	130729	4.05:1
S,T _s		205.06	21400	12030	33430	174301	154921	4.71:1
T.		82.62	21400	4755	26155	57834	140871	4.21:1
Τ,		100.91	21400	6485	27885	70637	31679	1.21:1
T.		116.82	21400	7060	28460	99297	42752	1.53:1
T		112.12	21400	7638	29038	78484	70837	2.48:1
T		103.93	21400	9755	31155	88341	49446	1.70:1
1'5		127.10	21400	10297	31697	108035	57186	1.83:1
5,T, ,T, ,T, ,T, ,T, ,T,		136.39	21400	10908	32308	115932	76338	2,40:1
1,		145.36	21400	11485	32885	123556	83624	2.59:1
T _x						123330	90671	2.75:1

A.K. Soni and Sunil Pareek / Indian Journal of Arid Horticulture, 2007, Vol. 2 (1): 27-31

that the maximum net profit of Rs. 15,492,1.0 ha⁺ was obtained under the treatment combination S_3T_7 followed by S_1T_7 with a profit of Rs. 14,482,5.0 ha⁺¹. The minimum net profit of Rs. 31,679.0 ha⁻¹ was gained under the treatment combination S_4T_1 . Data presented in same table further revealed that S_3T_7 treatment combination resulted in the highest B:C ratio of 4.71:1 followed by S_1T_7 whereas, the minimum B:C ratio (1.21:1) was obtained under the treatment combination S_4T_1 . Therefore, it could be inferred from findings that S_3T_7 was most economical combination.

References

- Anonymous. 2005. India 2004. Publications Division, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting. Government of India.
- Jha, A.K., Pal, N. and Singh, N. 2000. Phosphorus uptake and utilization at crop growth stages by onion. In: National Symposium on Onion-garlic Production and Post-harvest Management, Challenges and Strategies, NHRDF, held during 9-21, November at nasik, pp. 82-84.
- Naik, H.B. and Hosamani, R.M. 2000. Study on spacing and different levels of nitrogen on growth and yield

of kharif onion. In: National Symposium on Oniongarlic Production and Post-harvest Management, Challenges and Strategies, NHRDF, held during 19-21, November at Nasik, pp. 74-75.

- Patil, M.P., Madalageri, M.B. and Mulge, R. 2002. Fertilizer use economy in onion. In: *International Conference* on Onion, held during 11-14 November at Bangalore,
- Reddy, R., Reddy, M.A. and Reddy, Y.T. 1998. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of NPK on growth and yield of pea. *Legume Research*. 21: 57-60.
- Rizk, F.A. 1997. Productivity of onion plant (Allium cepa L.) as affected by method of planting and NPK application. *Egyptian Journal of Horticulture*. 24: 219-238.
- Sharma, R.P., Datta, N. and Sharma, P. 2003. Combined application of NPK and farmyard manure in onion under high hill, dry temperature conditions of North Himalayas. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*. 73: 225-227.
- Yadav, G.L., Sharma, P.K. and Kumar, S. 2002. Response of kharif onion to nitrogen and potash fertilization. In: International Conference on Onion, held during 11-14 November at Bangalore, pp.193.

the part of the part of the second states of the

Ment Science Connector

21.