

ISAH Indian Journal of Arid Horticulture Year 2025, Volume-7, Issue-1 (January - June)

Current status of Fusarium wilt resistance research in watermelon (Citrullus lanatus): A review

Prachi Bista¹, Glennescia Tenner¹, Lokraj Joshi², Frank Mrema¹ and Bed Prakash Bhatta¹

¹College of Agriculture and Applied Sciences, Department of Agriculture, Alcorn State University, Lorman, Mississippi, USA ²Bhagawatimai Rural Municipality, Karnali Province, Nepal

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 04 April 2025 Accepted: 24 April 2025

Keywords: Fusarium wilt, watermelon, marker-assisted selection, inheritance studies

doi:10.48165/ijah.2025.7.1.1

ABSTRACT

Fusarium wilt is one of the widespread and important fungal diseases of cucurbit crops in the United States and worldwide. It is caused by the fungal pathogen *Fusarium* oxysporum f. sp. niveum (Fon) that causes significant yield loss in watermelon. There are many commercial cultivars of watermelon that are resistant to Fon races 0 and 1. However, no edible cultivars resistant to races 2 or 3 have been developed. There is a necessity to understand the genetic and molecular basis of Fusarium wilt resistance for crop improvement. Therefore, exploring genetic and molecular factors to determine the resistance to virulent Fon races 2 and 3 in diverse watermelon genotypes will be useful. The recent advances in marker-assisted selection, genomic selection, whole-genome sequencing, gene-editing tools, and genome-wide association studies provide broader insights for improvement of watermelon varieties. In this review paper, we discuss the biology of the Fusarium wilt pathogen (Fon) of watermelon, the history of the Fusarium wilt disease, inheritance studies on Fusarium wilt, quantitative trait loci mapping, bottlenecks in Fusarium wilt resistance breeding, marker-assisted selection and novel plant breeding tools to improve Fusarium wilt resistance in watermelon. This review paper aims to explore the current efforts, challenges, and suggest potential future research for the management of Fusarium wilt using traditional and molecular breeding tools.

Introduction

Watermelon (Citrullus lanatus [Thunb.] Matsum. and Nakai) is a widely cultivated cucurbit crop within the family Cucurbitaceae. Watermelon is a good source of biologically active compounds, such as lycopene, vitamins A and C, β -carotene, potassium, and total phenolic compounds. These compounds contain anti-inflammatory, anticancer, and antioxidant properties (Maoto $et\ al.$, 2019). In addition to these nutrients, it contains specific amino acids, notably arginine and citrulline. Citrulline is predominantly found

in the peel, rind and flesh of fruit (Perkins-Veazie *et al.*, 2007). Along with nutritional importance, watermelon has substantial economic value. In 2024, it is estimated that the total production of watermelon was 3.69 billion pounds and it was grown on 110,900 acres of land in the United States (USDA, 2025). The leading watermelon-producing states in the United States (U.S.) are Florida, Texas, Georgia, and California, as the crop requires a warm growing season for optimal production (Wehner, 2008).

Fusarium wilt, caused by a soil-borne, ascomycete fungus Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. (forma specialis) niveum (Fon),

is one of the most serious diseases of watermelon. It is one of the top five fungi of scientific importance (Dean et al., 2012). Fusarium wilt has been designated as number one constraint in watermelon production in the U.S. (Kousik et al., 2016). It is a major limiting factor in watermelon production worldwide, with yield loss ranging from 30% to 80% (Rahman et al., 2021). Yield losses ranging up to 80-85% have also been reported (Zhang et al., 2012; Hall & Holloway, 2000). Similarly, around 50% disease incidence has been observed in the commercial watermelon fields of southeastern US (Petkar & Ji, 2017). Individual vines or the entire watermelon plant is killed by the pathogen, thereby reducing the yield (Keinath et al., 2010). There are several direct and indirect losses incurred due to Fusarium wilt disease. Direct losses include the loss of the marketable yield. Indirect losses arise due to costs associated with replanting, roguing, soil treatment, labor, keeping land fallow, etc. (Egel & Martyn, 2007).

Fon is both a seed-and soil-borne plant pathogen, and the infected plant shows symptoms like chlorosis, necrosis, leaf fall, browning of the vascular system, and eventually wilting of plants (Porter, 1928). The loss of turgor pressure in leaves and vines is seen as the early symptom of infected watermelon plants (Kurt et al., 2008). As the condition deteriorates, the plant becomes dull green to yellow and necrotic (Pursley et al., 2010). The continuous colonization of the xylem vessel by Fon forms enough tyloses that restricts the water movement, thus causing wilt in plants (Shaban & Abdelssalam, 2009). The optimum temperature for the growth and development of Fon is 24 to 32°C (Porter, 1928). Fon lacks a known sexual stage and is a root infecting vascular pathogen. It can survive extended periods in the absence of the host, mainly in the form of thick-walled chlamydospores (Pietro et al., 2003). When susceptible watermelon cultivar interacts with Fon and develop wilt disease, it indicates a compatible interaction. However, resistant cultivars have an incompatible interaction with Fon, hence there is no disease development (Lü et al., 2011).

Management practices such as resistant rootstock, grafting, soil fumigation, chemical treatment, use of cover crops, and biological control agents may help to reduce the incidence of Fusarium wilt in watermelon (Everts & Himmelstein, 2015). In addition, cover crops such as hairy vetch (Vicia villosa) are found to be effective in controlling Fusarium wilt in watermelon (Keinath et al., 2010). Furthermore, fungicides like prothioconazole and pydiflumetofen are identified as potential strategies in controlling the Fusarium wilt (Miller et al., 2020). Intercropping watermelon with aerobic rice reduces Fusarium wilt by preventing the Fon sporulation (Ren et al., 2008). Late transplanting and selecting the Fon race 1 resistant 'Fascination' or the tolerant cultivar 'Melody' can help in controlling Fusarium wilt in watermelon and increase marketable yield in the Southern U.S. (Keinath et al., 2019).

Taxonomy and biology of Fusarium wilt of watermelon

The binomial nomenclature for the genus Fusarium was first described in 1809 by Link (Morris & Nutting, 1923). The species was then termed Fusarium niveum by Smith in 1899 based upon his observations on wilt disease on watermelon, cotton, and cowpea (Sleeth, 1934). The species was later changed to Fusarium oxysporum (Hansford, 1926). In 1935, Wollenweber and Reinking reclassified the fungus isolated from watermelon and gave it the new name Fusarium bulbigenum var. niveum Woll (Cipolla, 1953). Synder and Hansen further refined this classification and further proposed that the specialized form of *F. oxysporum*, characterized by specific virulence to host plants, should be classified as distinct 'forma specialis' and considered watermelon wilt form 1 as F. oxysporum f. sp. niveum (Fon) (Snyder & Hansen, 1940). Till today, four distinct races (0, 1, 2, 3) of *Fon* have been identified in watermelon genotypes (Zhou et al., 2010). Table 1 shows the responses of diverse watermelon genotypes (differentials) against different races of Fon (Egel & Martyn, 2007; Wechter et al., 2016; Dutta & Coolong, 2017). Most of the resistance to Fusarium wilt race 2 was reported in wild relatives, Citrullus amarus, such as PI 296341-FR. Most of the sweet, edible watermelon cultivars (Citrullus lanatus) are resistant to races 0 and 1 of Fon (e.g., Calhoun Gray, Allsweet).

Table 1. Responses of differential watermelon genotypes to four races of Fusarium wilt fungus, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum*

Genotypes	Taxonomy	Race 0	Race 1	Race 2	Race 3
Sugar Baby	C. lanatus	S	S	S	S
Black Dia-	C. lanatus	S	S	S	S
mond					
Charleston	C. lanatus	R	S	S	S
Gray					
Crimson	C. lanatus	R	S	S	S
Sweet					
Calhoun Gray	C. lanatus	R	R	S	S
Allsweet	C. lanatus	R	R	S	S
PI 296341-FR	C. amarus	R	R	R	S
USVL246-	C. amarus	R	R	R	S
FR2					
USVL252-	C. amarus	R	R	R	S
FR2					

R=Resistance; S=Susceptible

The Fon fungus can spread through soil, plant debris, and farm implements and seeds and can live in soil for almost

a decade (Bruton *et al.*, 2007). As a germinating spore, the fungus initiates the infection process. Eventually, the hyphae enter the plant through wounds or any other natural opening. The hyphae then penetrate the vascular tissue, resulting in the production of microconidia. As microconidia enter the xylem, they infect by spreading into the watermelon's vascular system (Bishop & Cooper, 1983; Di Pietro *et al.*, 2003). These macroconidia form chlamydospores, also known as asexual structures or resting spores, as the fungus does not have a known sexual reproductive stage. Fusarium wilt disease is typically caused by the dissemination of chlamydospores (Egel & Martyn, 2007).

History of Fusarium wilt disease of watermelon

An unknown watermelon wilt disease was inflicting large losses in the Southern United States in the early 1890s, and at the same time, similar wilt symptoms were also seen in okra and cotton in Mississippi, Georgia and Alabama (Martyn, 2014). The Fusarium wilt disease was first reported in 1894 in watermelon fields of Georgia and South Carolina by Erwin. F. Smith, a USDA plant pathologist (Smith, 1894), and further researched upon (Smith, 1899). Fon race 2 was first reported in Texas in 1981 (Martyn, 1985), and later in Oklahoma (Bruton et al., 1988), Florida (Martyn, 1989), Maryland and Delaware (Zhou & Everts, 2001), Indiana (Egel et al., 2005), and Georgia (Bruton et al., 2008). Fusarium wilt pathogen (Fon) now has four races (0, 1, 2, and 3) that have been identified based on their aggressiveness on different watermelon cultivars (Martyn, 1985, Zhou et al., 2010). The use of watermelon differential lines to determine the race of different Fon strains has also been reported (Cirulli, 1972). Cucurbit wilt is caused by six distinct 'forma specialis', the most commercially significant of which are F. oxysporum f. sp. niveum in watermelon, F. oxysporum f. sp. melonis

in muskmelon, and *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *cucumerinum* in cucumber (Okungbowa & Shittu, 2012). There are more than 100 host-specific strains of *Fusarium oxysporum* (Gordon, 2017).

Inheritance and mapping studies related to Fusarium wilt resistance

Orton developed the first Fon-resistant cultivar 'Conqueror' from a cross of Fon-resistant citron melon (C. amarus) with Fon-susceptible 'Eden' variety (Orton, 1907). However, this variety showed resistance against Fon in eastern Iowa but was observed to be susceptible in Oregon, showing the environmental effects on the cultivar (Bennett, 1937). The watermelon cultivar 'Summit' was reported to be a completely dominant source of resistance to Fon (Henderson et al., 1970). Segregation analysis using different crosses of resistant cultivars (Calhoun Gray & Summit) with the susceptible cultivar (Mallali) along varying generations (F₁, F₂, and Back Cross or BC) showed a single dominant gene was likely conferring resistance to Fon race 1 (Netzer & Weintall, 1980). Most of the modern, elite diploid watermelon cultivars show resistance to races 0 and 1 of Fon (Martyn & Netzer, 1991; Zhou et al., 2010) and the major resistance QTL (Fo-1.1) for *Fon* race 1 was reported at the end of short arm on chromosome 1 of watermelon genome (Lambel et al., 2014)2014. Among the Fon races, race 2 is widely prevalent in the U.S. and is associated with huge yield and financial losses (Hall & Holloway, 2000; Zhang et al., 2012). So, to develop the Fon resistant commercial watermelon cultivar, it is necessary to understand the inheritance pattern of race 2 resistance (Martyn, 2014). The resistance of watermelons to virulent *Fon* race 2 is polygenic with moderate heritability (Biswas et al., 2025) and several quantitative trait loci (QTL) studies have reported C. amarus germplasm as the source of resistance (Table 2).

Table 2. Quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping studies related to Fusarium wilt resistance in watermelon

Cross	Generations	Race	Chromosomes	Logarithm of odds (LOD) score	% Phenotypic variance (PV)	References
'HMw017' × 'HMw013' (R × S)	F_3	1	1, 3, 4, 9, & 10	4.26 to 33.31	11 to 59.9	Lambel <i>et al.</i> , 2014
'97103' × 'PI 296341-FR'	F ₈ [RILs]	1	1	13.2	48.1	Ren et al., 2015
'97103' × 'PI 296341-FR'	F ₈ [RILs]	2	9 & 10	3.1 to 3.3	12.5 to 13.7	Ren et al., 2015
'Calhoun Gray' × 'Sugar Baby' (R×S)	F_3	1	1	Not available	38.4	Meru & McGregor, 2016a

'Charleston Gray' × 'UGA147' (S × R)	F _{2:3}	2	11	3.89 to 5.93	9.6 to 16.2	Meru & McGregor, 2016b
'USVL246-FR2' × 'USVL114' (R × S)	F _{2:3}	2	2, 5, 8, 9, & 10	7.6 to 40.5	5.0 to 43.2	Branham et al., 2017
'ZXG01478' x '14CB11' (R × S)	F_2	1	1	26.05	80.18	Na et al., 2017
'HMw017' × 'HMw013' (R × S)	F_3	1	1 & 11	27.9	55.2	Branham <i>et al.</i> , 20182018
'USVL246-FR2' \times 'USVL114' (R \times S)	F _{2:3} & RILs	1	9	5.6 to 10.7	14.1 to 24.7	Branham et al., 2019
'USVL252-FR2' × 'PI 244019' (R × S)	F ₂ & F _{2:3}	2	1	12.8	18.9	Branham et al., 2020
'EC79442'1 x 'BIL-53) (R × S)	F _{2:3}	2	1 & 7	Not available	Not available	Pal et al., 20232023

^{*}S=susceptible; R=resistant; RIL=recombinant inbred lines

Marker assisted selection for Fusarium wilt resistance breeding in watermelon

Marker-assisted selection (MAS) can be used as a valuable tool to determine QTL linked to a particular trait (Ren et al., 2015). Dominant, polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers developed for Fon races 1 and 2 could not be used because of the large linkage estimate between the trait and the genetic marker (Hawkins et al., 2001). The co-dominant SNP marker 'UGA1 502161' can be used as a useful marker for Fon race 1 resistance screening (Fall et al., 2018). Similarly, co-dominant KASP (Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR) markers tightly linked to Fon race 1 resistance have also been developed and can be used to screen the watermelon parents and progenies during different early and advanced generations of the breeding cycle (Branham et al., 2018). Further, Ren et al. (2015) developed a SNP marker 'Chr1SNP_5202124' that could be used for Fon race-1 resistance screening. Microsatellite markers have also been developed to facilitate MAS for Fon race 2 resistance in watermelon (Pal et al., 2023).

Bottlenecks in Fusarium wilt resistance breeding in watermelon

Although the consumption of seedless watermelon varieties has increased (Wehner, 2008), most of the seedless triploid cultivars of watermelon are generally more susceptible to all the races of *Fon* (Egel & Martyn, 2007; Keinath *et al.*, 2010). Primers have been developed to distinguish races 1 and 2 of Fon and can detect the presence of pathogen at the earlier stages of wilting (Lin *et al.*, 2010). Many currently available

commercial cultivars have resistance to *Fon* races 0 and 1, but until now, no edible cultivar resistant to *Fon* races 2 or 3 has been developed (Ganaparthi *et al.*, 2024). Resistance to race 2 *Fon* has been reported to be polygenic in wild watermelon species (Wehner, 2008). MAS for introgression of *Fon* race 2 resistant genes from PI 296341-FR to develop a resistant commercial cultivar was not successful in developing a sweet, edible cultivar due to a negative linkage drag between the resistant gene and poor-quality traits (Meru & McGregor, 2016b). Thus, there also arises a need to explore alternative technologies to reduce losses in watermelon production, productivity, and quality due to Fusarium wilt.

Novel approaches to enhance Fusarium wilt resistance in watermelon

The domesticated, seeded, sweet watermelon (*C. lanatus*) is a diploid with each of their cells containing two sets of 11 pairs of chromosomes. Reference genomes of pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains of *Fon* are also available (Fulton *et al.*, 2022). Several novel approaches could be utilized to enhance the resistance of watermelon to the virulent *Fon* race 2. For instance, the grafting of rootstock of cucurbit crops (squash, bottle gourd) with watermelon scions inhibited the races 1 & 2 *Fon* and increased the marketable yield of watermelon (Keinath & Hassell, 2014). Since *Fon* race 2 resistance is governed by multiple genes, another breeding strategy – genomic selection (GS) could be more effective to incorporate all the resistance genes at once than trying to incorporate few major QTL (Meuwissen

et al., 2001; Biswas et al., 2025). Fungicide-based disease management practice can be effective to lower Fusarium wilt incidence and effect in watermelon fields (Everts et al., 2014). Previously, methyl bromide - a popular soil fumigant used in controlling Fusarium wilt - had been banned owing to its ozone-depleting characteristics (EPA, 2006). Conventional PCR-based primers to differentiate race 3 from races 1 & 2 of Fon have been developed (Hudson et al., 2021). Realtime PCR analysis to detect Fon race 1 of watermelon from plants and soil have also been reported (Zhong et al., 2022). Precise genome editing technology (e.g., Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats or CRISPR/Cas9 system) are crucial tools for the improvement of crops such as watermelons (Bhatta & Malla, 2020; Feng et al., 2013). In watermelon, CRISPR/Cas9 has been already used to develop herbicide-resistance (Tian et al., 2018; Tian et al., 2017) and to perform knockout of the *Clpsk1* gene to increase resistance to Fon race 1 (Zhang et al., 2020). A recent genome-wide association (GWAS) study using a diversity panel of 120 C. amarus accessions found several quantitative trait nucleotides (QTNs) on chromosomes 1, 5, 9, and 10 explaining up to 60% of Fon race 2 resistance (Ganaparthi et al., 2023).

Conclusion

Continuous domestication and selective breeding for specific trait have led to a narrow genetic base and susceptibility to diseases such as Fusarium wilt in the cultivated sweet dessert watermelons (Levi et al., 2001). The future studies should study interaction between different Fon races and host (watermelon) using molecular, biochemical, and physiological approaches. Further research on identifying Fon resistant sources besides C. amarus, such as the C. mucosospermus and other species, will expand the repertoire of disease resistant alleles to breed for Fusarium wilt-resistant, cultivated watermelons.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Ms. Amisha Bhatt for proofreading and providing valuable feedback on the review manuscript.

Contributions

P.B., **G.T.**, & **L.J.**: Literature Review, First Draft Preparation; **B.P.B.** & **F.M.**: Review and Editing; **B.P.B.**: Conceptualization, Supervision, and Final Review

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Sharing

This review article did not generate any supplementary data.

Informed Consent

All the authors agree with the content of this review article.

References

- Bennett, L. 1937. Studies on the inheritance of resistance to wilt (Fusarium niveum) in watermelon Journal of Agricultural Research, 53:295.
- Bhatta, B. P. and Malla, S. 2020. Improving horticultural crops via CRISPR/Cas9: current successes and prospects. *Plants*, 9(10):1360.
- Bishop, C. and Cooper, R. M. 1983. An ultrastructural study of vascular colonization in three vascular wilt diseases I. Colonization of susceptible cultivars. *Physiological Plant Pathology*, 23(3):323-343.
- Biswas, A., Wechter, P., Ganaparthi, V., Jarquin, D., Kousik, S., Branham, S., *et al.* 2025. Comparative genomic prediction of resistance to Fusarium wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* race 2) in watermelon: parametric and nonparametric approaches. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 138(1):1-11.
- Branham, S. E., Levi, A., Farnham, M. W. and Patrick Wechter, W. 2017. A GBS-SNP-based linkage map and quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* race 2 identified in *Citrullus lanatus* var. *citroides*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 130:319-330.
- Branham, S. E., Levi, A. and Wechter, W. P. 2019. QTL mapping identifies novel source of resistance to Fusarium wilt race 1 in *Citrullus amarus. Plant Disease*, 103(5):984-989.
- Branham, S. E., Patrick Wechter, W., Lambel, S., Massey, L., Ma, M., Fauve, J., *et al.* 2018. QTL-seq and marker development for resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* race 1 in cultivated watermelon. *Molecular Breeding*, 38:1-9.
- Branham, S. E., Patrick Wechter, W., Ling, K.-S., Chanda, B., Massey, L., Zhao, G., et al. 2020. QTL mapping of resistance to Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. niveum race 2 and Papaya ringspot virus in Citrullus amarus. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 133:677-687.
- Bruton, B., Fish, W., Zhou, X., Everts, K. and Roberts, P. 2007. Fusarium wilt in seedless watermelons. In: Proceeding 2007 Southeast Regional Vegetable Conference. Pp. 93-98.
- Bruton, B., Patterson, C. and Martyn, R. 1988. Fusarium wilt (*F. oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* race 2) of watermelon in Oklahoma. *Plant Disease*, 72(8).

- Bruton, B. D., Fish, W. W. and Langston, D. B. 2008. First report of Fusarium wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* race 2 in Georgia watermelons. *Plant Disease*, 92(6):983-983.
- Cipolla, G. 1953. Wilt of melon and watermelon produced by *Fusarium bulbigenum* var. *niveum* Woll. *Idia*, 69:16-19
- Cirulli, M. 1972. Variation of pathogenicity in *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* and resistance in watermelon cultivars. Actas III Congress Mediterranean Phytopathologial Union (Oeiras, Portugal). Pp. 491-500.
- Dean, R., Van Kan, J. A., Pretorius, Z. A., Hammond-Kosack, K. E., Di Pietro, A., Spanu, P. D., et al. 2012. The Top 10 fungal pathogens in molecular plant pathology. Molecular Plant Pathology, 13(4):414-430.
- Di Pietro, A., Madrid, M. P., Caracuel, Z., Delgado-Jarana, J. and Roncero, M. I. G. 2003. *Fusarium oxysporum*: Exploring the molecular arsenal of a vascular wilt fungus. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 4(5).
- Dutta, B. and Coolong, T. 2017. Fusarium wilt of watermelon in Georgia. UGA Extension: Tifton, GA, USA. extension.uga. edu/publications/detail.html?number=B1485
- Egel, D., Harikrishnan, R. and Martyn, R. 2005. First report of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* race 2 as causal agent of Fusarium wilt of watermelon in Indiana. *Plant Disease*, 89(1):108.
- Egel, D. and Martyn, R. 2007. Fusarium wilt of watermelon and other cucurbits. *The Plant Health Instructor*, 10:1094.
- EPA. 2006. Report of Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) Tolerance Reassessment and Risk Management Decision (TRED) for Methyl Bromide, and Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) for Methyl Bromide's Commodity Uses. United States Environment Protection Agency.
- Everts, K. L., Egel, D. S., Langston, D. and Zhou, X. G. 2014. Chemical management of Fusarium wilt of watermelon. *Crop Protection*, 66:114-119.
- Everts, K. L. and Himmelstein, J. C. 2015. Fusarium wilt of watermelon: Towards sustainable management of a re-emerging plant disease. *Crop Protection*, 73:93-99.
- Fall, L. A., Clevenger, J. and McGregor, C. 2018. Assay development and marker validation for marker assisted selection of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* race 1 in watermelon. *Molecular Breeding*, 38(11):130.
- Feng, Z., Zhang, B., Ding, W., Liu, X., Yang, D.-L., Wei, P., *et al.* 2013. Efficient genome editing in plants using a CRISPR/Cas system. *Cell Research*, 23(10):1229-1232.
- Fulton, J. C., Brawner, J. T., Huguet-Tapia, J. C., Smith, K. E., Fernandez, R. and Dufault, N. S. 2022. Six de novo assemblies from pathogenic and nonpathogenic strains of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum*. *PhytoFrontiers*™, 2(1):3-9.
- Ganaparthi, V. R., Rennberger, G., Wechter, P., Levi, A. and Branham, S. E. 2023. Genome-wide association mapping and genomic prediction of Fusarium wilt race 2 resistance in the USDA *Citrullus amarus* collection. *Plant Disease*,

- 107(12):3836-3842.
- Ganaparthi, V. R., Wechter, P., Levi, A. and Branham, S. E. 2024. Mapping and validation of Fusarium wilt race 2 resistance QTL from *Citrullus amarus* line USVL246-FR2. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 137(4):91.
- Gordon, T. R. 2017. Fusarium oxysporum and the Fusarium wilt syndrome. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 55(1):23-39.
- Hall, K. D. and Holloway, R. L. 2000. FQPA: Impact on Potatoes, Onions, Cabbage and Watermelon Produced in Texas. https:// hdl.handle.net/1969.1/86892
- Hansford, C. G. 1926. The fusaria of Jamaica. Bulletin of Miscellaneous Information (Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew): 257-288.
- Hawkins, L. K., Dane, F., Kubisiak, T. L., Rhodes, B. B. and Jarret, R. L. 2001. Linkage mapping in a watermelon population segregating for Fusarium wilt resistance. *Journal of American Society for Horticultural Science*, 126(3): 344-350.
- Henderson, W., Jenkins, S. and Rawlings, J. 1970. The inheritance of Fusarium wilt resistance in Watermelon, *Citrullus lanatus* (Thunb.) Mansf. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, 95(3): 276-282.
- Hudson, O., Waliullah, S., Fulton, J. C., Ji, P., Dufault, N. S., Keinath, A., et al. 2021. Marker development for differentiation of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. niveum race 3 from races 1 and 2. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 22(2):822.
- Keinath, A. and Hassell, R. 2014. Control of Fusarium wilt of watermelon by grafting onto bottlegourd or interspecific hybrid squash despite colonization of rootstocks by Fusarium. *Plant Disease*, 98(2):255-266.
- Keinath, A. P., Coolong, T. W., Lanier, J. D. and Ji, P. 2019. Managing Fusarium wilt of watermelon with delayed transplanting and cultivar resistance. *Plant Disease*, 103(1):44-50.
- Keinath, A. P., Hassell, R. L., Everts, K. L. and Zhou, X.-G. 2010. Cover crops of hybrid common vetch reduce Fusarium wilt of seedless watermelon in the eastern United States. *Plant Health Progress*, 11(1):8.
- Kousik, C. S., Brusca, J. and Turechek, W. W. 2016. Diseases and disease management strategies take top research priority in the Watermelon Research and Development Group members survey (2014 to 2015). *Plant Health Progress*, 17(1):53-58.
- Kurt, S., Dervis, S., Soylu, E. M., Tok, F. M., Yetisir, H. and Soylu, S. 2008. Pathogenic races and inoculum density of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* in commercial watermelon fields in southern Turkey. *Phytoparasitica*, 36:107-116.
- Lambel, S., Lanini, B., Vivoda, E., Fauve, J., Patrick Wechter, W., Harris-Shultz, K. R., et al. 2014. A major QTL associated with Fusarium oxysporum race 1 resistance identified in genetic populations derived from closely related watermelon lines using selective genotyping and genotyping-by-sequencing for SNP discovery. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 127:2105-2115.
- Levi, A., Thomas, C. E., Wehner, T. C. and Zhang, X. 2001. Low ge-

- netic diversity indicates the need to broaden the genetic base of cultivated watermelon. *HortScience*, 36(6):1096-1101.
- Lin, Y.-H., Chen, K.-S., Chang, J.-Y., Wan, Y.-L., Hsu, C.-C., Huang, J.-W., *et al.* 2010. Development of the molecular methods for rapid detection and differentiation of *Fusarium oxysporum* and *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* in Taiwan. *New Biotechnology*, 27(4):409-418.
- Lü, G., Guo, S., Zhang, H., Geng, L., Song, F., Fei, Z., et al. 2011. Transcriptional profiling of watermelon during its incompatible interaction with Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. niveum. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 131(4):585.
- Maoto, M. M., Beswa, D. and Jideani, A. I. 2019. Watermelon as a potential fruit snack. *International Journal of Food Properties*, 22(1):355-370.
- Martyn, R. 1985. An aggressive race of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* new to the United States. 69(1007):493-495.
- Martyn, R. 1989. An Initial Survey of the United States for Races of *Fursarium oxysporum* f. *HortScience*, 24(4):696-698.
- Martyn, R. and Netzer, D. 1991. Resistance to races 0, 1, and 2 of Fusarium wilt of watermelon in *Citrullus* sp. PI-296341-FR. *HortScience*, 26(4):429-432.
- Martyn, R. D. 2014. Fusarium wilt of watermelon: 120 years of research. *Horticultural Reviews*, 42:349-442.
- Meru, G. and McGregor, C. 2016a. Genotyping by sequencing for SNP discovery and genetic mapping of resistance to race 1 of *Fusarium oxysporum* in watermelon. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 209:31-40.
- Meru, G. and McGregor, C. E. 2016b. A genetic locus associated with resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* race 2 in *Citrullus lanatus*-type watermelon. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, 141(6):617-622.
- Meuwissen, T. H., Hayes, B. J. and Goddard, M. 2001. Prediction of total genetic value using genome-wide dense marker maps. *Genetics*, 157(4):1819-1829.
- Miller, N. F., Standish, J. R. and Quesada-Ocampo, L. M. 2020. Sensitivity of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* to prothioconazole and pydiflumetofen in vitro and efficacy for Fusarium wilt management in watermelon. *Plant Health Progress*, 21(1):13-18.
- Morris, H. and Nutting, G. B. 1923. Identification of certain species of Fusarium isolated from potato tubers in Montana. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 24:339.
- Na, L. N., JiMing, W., JianLi, S., NanNan, L., YongYang, X. and ShuangWu, M. 2017. Fine-mapping of QTL and development of InDel markers for *Fusarium oxysporum* race 1 resistance in watermelon. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, 50(1):131-141.
- Netzer, D. and Weintall, C. 1980. Inheritance of resistance in watermelon to race 1 of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum*. *Plant Disease*, 64:853-854.
- Okungbowa, F. and Shittu, H. 2012. Fusarium wilts: An overview. *Environmental Research Journal*, 6(2):83-102.

- Orton, W. 1907. On methods of breeding for disease-resistance. In: Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science, 5:1-31.
- Pal, S., Rao, E. S., Reddy, D. L. and Dayanandhi, E. 2023. QTL-seq identifies and QTL mapping refines genomic regions conferring resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* race 2 in cultivated watermelon [*Citrullus lanatus* (Thunb.) Matsum & Nakai]. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 319:112180.
- Perkins-Veazie, P., Collins, J. K., Clevidence, B. and Wu, G. 2007. Watermelons and health. *Acta Horticulturae*, 731:121.
- Petkar, A. and Ji, P. 2017. Infection courts in watermelon plants leading to seed infestation by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum. Phytopathology*, 107(7):828-833.
- Pietro, A. D., Madrid, M. P., Caracuel, Z., Delgado-Jarana, J. and Roncero, M. I. G. 2003. *Fusarium oxysporum*: exploring the molecular arsenal of a vascular wilt fungus. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 4(5):315-325.
- Porter, D. R. 1928. Infection studies with watermelon wilt caused by *Fusarium niveum* EFS. Agricultural Experiment Station, Iowa State College of Agriculture and Mechanic Arts. Pp. 348-368.
- Pursley, D., Cooke, T. and House, S. 2010. Cucurbits. In: Diseases of Vegetable Crops in Australia. BioOne. Pp. 113-139.
- Rahman, M. Z., Ahmad, K., Bashir Kutawa, A., Siddiqui, Y., Saad, N., Geok Hun, T., et al. 2021. Biology, diversity, detection and management of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. niveum causing vascular wilt disease of watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*): A review. Agronomy, 11(7):1310.
- Ren, L., Su, S., Yang, X., Xu, Y., Huang, Q. and Shen, Q. 2008. Intercropping with aerobic rice suppressed Fusarium wilt in watermelon. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 40(3):834-844.
- Ren, Y., Jiao, D., Gong, G., Zhang, H., Guo, S., Zhang, J., et al. 2015. Genetic analysis and chromosome mapping of resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. niveum (FON) race 1 and race 2 in watermelon (Citrullus lanatus L.). Molecular Breeding, 35(9):183.
- Shaban, W. I. A. and Abdelssalam, M. 2009. Evaluation of combining ability of some agronomic traits and susceptibility to Fusarium wilt in watermelon hybrids. *Tunisian Journal of Plant Protection*, 4(1):15-28.
- Sleeth, B. 1934. *Fusarium niveum*, the cause of watermelon wilt. West Virginia Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station Bulletins. 257
- Smith, E. F. 1894. The watermelon disease of the South. In: Forty-Third Meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science (Putnam, F. W., ed.). Brooklyn, New York: The Permanent Secretary, pp. Section G. 289-290.
- Smith, E. F. 1899. Wilt disease of cotton, watermelon, and cowpea (Neocosmospora nov. gen.). Washington: Government Printing Office.
- Snyder, W. C. and Hansen, H. 1940. The species concept in Fusari-

- um. American Journal of Botany, 64-67.
- Tian, S., Jiang, L., Cui, X., Zhang, J., Guo, S., Li, M., et al. 2018. Engineering herbicide-resistant watermelon variety through CRISPR/Cas9-mediated base-editing. Plant Cell Reports, 37:1353-1356.
- Tian, S., Jiang, L., Gao, Q., Zhang, J., Zong, M., Zhang, H., et al. 2017. Efficient CRISPR/Cas9-based gene knockout in watermelon. Plant Cell Reports, 36:399-406.
- USDA. 2025. Vegetables 2024 Summary. National Agricultural Statistics Service.
- Wechter, W. P., McMillan, M. M., Farnham, M. W. and Levi, A. 2016. Watermelon germplasm lines USVL246-FR2 and USVL252-FR2 tolerant to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* race 2. *HortScience*, 51(8):1065-1067.
- Wehner, T. C. 2008. Watermelon. In: Vegetables I: Asteraceae, Brassicaceae, Chenopodicaceae, and Cucurbitaceae. Springer. Pp. 381-418.
- Zhang, M., Liu, Q., Yang, X., Xu, J., Liu, G., Yao, X., et al. 2020. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of Clpsk1 in watermelon to confer resistance to Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. niveum.

- Plant Cell Reports, 39:589-595.
- Zhang, M., Yang, X., Liu, G., Xu, J., Zhu, L., Gao, C., et al. 2012.
 Histological differences between watermelon grafted onto bottle gourd rootstock and self-rooted seedlings inoculated with Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. niveum. In: Cucurbitaceae 2012.
 Proceedings of the Xth EUCARPIA Meeting on Genetics and Breeding of Cucurbitaceae, Antalya, Turkey, 15-18 October, 2012. University of Cukurova, Ziraat Fakultesi. Pp. 299-305.
- Zhong, X., Yang, Y., Zhao, J., Gong, B., Li, J., Wu, X., et al. 2022. Detection and quantification of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* race 1 in plants and soil by real-time PCR. *The Plant Pathology Journal*, 38(3):229.
- Zhou, X. and Everts, K. 2001. First report of the occurrence of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* race 2 in commercial watermelon production areas of Maryland and Delaware. *Plant Disease*, 85(12):1291-1291.
- Zhou, X., Everts, K. and Bruton, B. 2010. Race 3, a new and highly virulent race of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* causing Fusarium wilt in watermelon. *Plant Disease*, 94(1):92-98.