

EFFECT OF FEED ACIDIFIERS ON PERFORMANCE OF BROILERS

NIBASH D. BARMA¹, T. G. DEVI² AND BIKAS CH. DEBNATH³

Department of Livestock Production Management
College of Veterinary Sciences & Animal Husbandry
R. K. Nagar, Agartala-799 008, Tripura, India

Received : 26.03.2014

Accepted : 30.05.2014

ABSTRACT

One hundred and eighty day-old broiler chicks were randomly distributed into four groups, each group having three replicates. Each replicate contained fifteen chicks. The treatments were T₀ - control diet without acidifier prepared as per national standards (2005), T₁ - control diet + feed acidifier acidlactry @ 3 kg/t, T₂ - control diet + acidlactry @ 4 kg/t, T₃ - control diet + acidlactry @ 5 kg/t. The starter ration was offered upto three weeks and finisher ration thereafter upto six weeks of age. The body weights of broilers at 6th weeks of age were significantly higher in T₃ (1893.80), T₂ (1893.70) and T₁ (1836.50) groups. The most efficient feed conversion ratio were observed in T₃ (1.75), T₂ (1.76) and T₁ (1.80) treatment groups as compared to control. The carcass characteristics viz., dressing yield, drumstick yield, giblet yield and abdominal fat pad were not affected by treatments indicative of the fact that use of acidifiers doesn't play any role in improving the carcass traits. However, the use of feed acidifier acidlactry @ 3, 4 and 5 kg/t improved gut health and nutrient utilization resulting into improved production performance in broilers

Key words: Broilers, acidifiers, ration, management, body weights, carcass.

Indian poultry industry has made a phenomenal and remarkable progress, evolving from a small-scale backyard venture to the status of commercial, full-fledged, self-sufficient and most progressive agro-based industry. The growth in poultry production observed in recent decades resulted from contributions of some technologies and innovations in the fields of genetics, health, nutrition, management and others. The economics of production is very important criteria for broiler production and feed is the major important factor affecting the productive performance and economics of broiler production, next to genetic potential. Moreover, it is a major input constituting 70-75% of the total cost of production. Prime objectives of poultry producers are always aimed to improve feed efficiency and productivity by reducing the cost of production per bird. Livestock

performance and feed efficiency are closely interrelated with the qualitative and quantitative microbial load of the host animal, including the load in the alimentary tract and in the environment. Acidifiers are composed of selected organic acids with antimicrobial property and pH regulating activity in the gut. Organic acids if incorporated in poultry feed may create favourable conditions in the intestine for the efficient digestion and amalgamation of feed. Organic acids in poultry may serve many functions such as protein digestion in the stomach, stimulate feed consumption, inhibit the growth of pathogenic bacteria and improves protein and energy digestibility by reducing microbial competition with host nutrients¹⁰. The present study was carried out to evaluate the performance of broiler supplemented with acidifiers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out on 180 broiler chicks and a standard management practices were done followed by vaccination for Ranikhet disease (RD) on day seven (07), for Infectious bursal disease (IBD) on day 16 and again RD booster on day 28. The diet prepared were iso-caloric and iso-proteinous for broiler starter and finisher rations (As per National Standards 2005). The control, T₀ was given diet without acidifier, T₁ was given diet with feed acidifier AcidLacDry @ 3 kg/t of feed, T₂ was given diet with AcidLacDry @ 4 kg/t of feed and T₃ with AcidLacDry @ 5 kg/t of feed. The weighed amount of ration was offered every day in the morning and evening to all the treatment groups. The left over feed was collected and weighed separately on the next day morning to arrive at the actual daily feed consumption, which was inclusive of feeding losses, if any. The individual birds were weighed at the end of each week and the total body weight gain per week was calculated by subtracting the initial weight of bird by the final weight attained during that particular week and weekly recorded weights were used for subtracting the initial body weight to calculate the cumulative weight gain of the birds at different weeks. The feed conversion ratio was calculated by dividing the total feed consumption by total weight gain. The carcass trait was calculated on weight of edible carcass, different edible organs and abdominal fat pad over live weight. All the data in the experiment were analyzed by Completely Randomized Design⁶.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The means, standard errors and critical differences for the weekly weight gain of broilers at different age groups for the effect of different level acidifier are depicted in Table 1. The analysis of variance for the mean cumulative weight gain between treatment groups showed highly significant differences ($P < 0.01$). However, the differences within treatment groups were non-significant. The highest mean cumulative weight gain at 6th week was recorded for treatment group T₂ followed by group T₃ and T₁ respectively. The mean cumulative weight gain

is estimated from the mean weekly body weights from different groups and hence showed similar trend with that of previous workers^{2, 4, 5, 8, 9}.

The means and standard errors for the feed consumption of the broiler with different level acidifier preparations at different age groups are presented in Table 1. The analysis of variance showed highly significant differences between the treatment groups. The highest mean cumulative feed consumption at 6th week was recorded for treatment group T₂ followed by T₁, T₃ respectively. The data pertaining to cumulative feed consumption indicated that the birds from treatment groups T₁, T₂, T₃ recorded 3, 4.2, 2.9 percent more feed consumption as compared to control and the findings were in agreement with^{1, 4, 5, 8}.

The means and standard errors for the feed conversion ratio of broilers with different level acidifier preparations at different age groups are shown in Table 1. The analysis of variance showed highly significant differences between the treatment groups. However, the differences within treatment groups were non-significant. The cumulative feed conversion ratio from different treatment groups, revealed that the birds receiving feed acidifier (AcidLacDry) @ 3 (T₁), 4 (T₂) and 5 (T₃) kg/t of feed recorded the better feed conversion ratios, indicating that these birds were most efficient in feed utilization and the similar trends were observed by few workers^{3, 4, 8 & 9}.

The analysis of variance for dressing percentage and different cutup parts of the carcass showed (Table 2) non-significant differences between the treatment groups. The highest dressing percentage was observed in T₂. The highest weight in drumstick gible was also observed in T₂ followed by T₀ and T₁ respectively. The highest weight in abdominal fat pad was recorded in T₀. Better performance was observed in T₂ group. This may be due to the optimal dose level of feed acidifier i.e. 4 kg per ton of feed providing the favourable condition in the gut for maximum utilization of nutrients. These findings were in close accordance with the findings of the previous workers^{5, 7 & 8}.

Feed acidifiers on performance of broilers

Table 1. Performance of broiler chickens at different ages

Age (days)	Group	Weight (g)	Feed intake (g)	Water intake (g)	Survival (%)
1	Control	100	100	100	100
	0.1%	105	105	105	100
	0.2%	110	110	110	100
	0.3%	115	115	115	100
	0.4%	120	120	120	100
2	Control	200	200	200	100
	0.1%	210	210	210	100
	0.2%	220	220	220	100
	0.3%	230	230	230	100
	0.4%	240	240	240	100
3	Control	300	300	300	100
	0.1%	310	310	310	100
	0.2%	320	320	320	100
	0.3%	330	330	330	100
	0.4%	340	340	340	100
4	Control	400	400	400	100
	0.1%	410	410	410	100
	0.2%	420	420	420	100
	0.3%	430	430	430	100
	0.4%	440	440	440	100

Table 2. Growth performance of broiler chickens at different ages

Table 3. Growth performance of broiler chickens at different ages

Age (days)	Weight (g)	Feed intake (g)	Water intake (g)	Survival (%)	Feed conversion ratio	Water conversion ratio	Feed efficiency
1	100	100	100	100	1.0	1.0	1.0
2	200	200	200	100	1.0	1.0	1.0
3	300	300	300	100	1.0	1.0	1.0
4	400	400	400	100	1.0	1.0	1.0

CONCLUSION

The study revealed that, feed acidifier used at the dose level of 4 kg per ton of feed is beneficial for improving overall performance with respect to growth rate, feed conversion ratio, live weight and dressing percentage of the broiler birds. This might be due to optimal favourable condition in intestinal gut of the broilers for maximum absorption of nutrients by inhibition of intestinal

bacteria competing with the host for available nutrients and a reduction of possible toxic bacterial metabolites. But further investigation needs to be carried out for determining the level of pH and concentration of the microbes in intestinal gut for optimal performance of the broilers. However, the use of acidifiers in the rations of broilers may be advocated for the efficiency in feed utilization and overall successful production.

REFERENCES

1. Cave, N.A.G. (1984). Effect of dietary propionic acid and lactic acid on feed intake by chicks. *Poult. Sci.*, **83**: 330-334.
2. Denli, M. F. Okan and K. Celik (2003). Effect of dietary probiotic, organic acid and antibiotic supplementation to diets on broiler performance and carcass yield. *Pakistan J. Nutri.*, **2 (2)**:89-91.
3. Eidelsburger U. and M. Kirchgessner (1994). Effect of organic acids and salts in the feed on fattening performance of broilers. *Archiv Fur Guflugelkunde*, **58(6)**: 268-277.
4. Jagdishprasad and D. Sen (1992). Effect of different levels of acidification of drinking water on the performance of broilers. *J. Poult. Sci.*, **27 (1)**: 66-67.
5. Lokhande, D.Y. (2005). Comparative evaluation of pre-biotic, pro-biotic and acidifier in broilers diet. Unpublished M.V.Sc. Thesis submitted to MAFSU, Nagpur.
6. Panse, G.V. and P.V. Sukhatme (1967). *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*, ICAR Publication, New Delhi.
7. Skinner, J.T., A.L. Izat and P.W. Waldroup (1991). Fumaric acid enhances performance of broilers. *Poult. Sci.*, **70**: 1444-1447.
8. Thirumeignanam D., R.K. Swain, S. Mohanty and P.K. Patil (2006). Studies on the effect of dietary organic acids on performance of commercial broilers. *Abst. ANCON*, **27**:161.
9. Vale M.M., J.F.M. Menten, S.C.D. Morais and M.D.A. Brainer (2004). Mixture of formic and propionic acids as additives in broiler feeds. *Sci. Agric.* **61**: 4.
10. Vegad J.L. (2004). *Poultry Diseases: A guide for farmers and poultry professionals*. 1st Ed., IBDC Lucknow, pp 387.

